	Jurisc	liction				Contra de la Contra de	Su	rvey Re	sults (1	number	s of URI	vís)	-		
						н				1			1	[1
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Inve	Nun Hist	Nun	Mitt	Rep 1995	UCE	Con	Part Unc	Retr Issu	Plan	Redi	Dem	Slate	Vari	Vo N rog	NWD
					-							03		26	
Bellf	lower	26 LIRM	r												
Yes	0	20 010	Yes	No				1		1					
	in all the second			datory st	rengthe	ning				L	I		1		
-				: 1985 Ed			of the L	los Ange	les Cour	nty Code					
Progres	s and R	emarks:													
													an a		
	ont 21														
Yes	0	2	Yes	Yes				1	1			_			2
				datory st											
Techni Ord	cal Mitig inance	ation St	andards	: 1987 Ec	dition of	the UCE	SC Appe	ndix Cha	apter 1 a	s amend	ed to refl	ect the 1	990 SHB	C Draft N	Model
Progres	s and Re	marks:											740-5 - 48 194 - Con		
Belve	edere														P1-4
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe:						2						
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Benio	ia 21 N	on-histo	ric URM	18 Histo	ric URM	ſ									
Yes	18	21	Yes	No											
Mitigat repo	ion Prog rts by bu	ram Typ iilding o	e: Notio fficial	ces to ow	mers His	storic bu	ilding ov	vners we	ere Not N	Notified,	Notices t	o tenani	ts, semiar	inual pro	ogress
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	None								100			
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Berke	eley 58	7 Buildir	ngs, All I	Pre-1976	Assembl	y, Busin	ess, Edu	cational,	Hazard	ous, and	Resident	with 5	or more ı	anits	
Yes	0	587	Yes	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	datory, N	Nonbear	ing walls	s and ver	neers.							
Technic build	al Mitig lings. SI	ation St EAOC/C	andards: CALBO r	City pro	ovides p nded ret	rescripti rofit pro	ve stand visions v	ards for with moc	tall vene lificatior	ers, para ns for bea	ipets and iring wal	simple I URMs.	one or tw	'o story	
direc	ted its st	aff to de	velop a	iblished a hazards e il financii	evaluatio	ne fee of on ordina	S22 on a ance to b	ll busine e follow	ess licens ed by a i	ses to rec mandato	over city ry streng	s progra thening	am startu ordinanc	p costs. (:e pendir	City ng the
Bever	ly Hil	ls 99 U	RM												
Yes	0	99	Yes	Yes	47	47	6	2	8		1	1		36	99
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory str	rengthen	ing									
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	Similar	to the 19	91 editio	on of the	UCBC A	Appendi	x Chapte	r 1				
Progress	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	s of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
	Bear La										1	-	T		
No	0	31	No	Yes			1		L		8	l		41	
	tion Prog												- 15. T		
	cal Mitig														
Progres 33 a	ss and Ro re left an	emarks: d some	6 dama of those	ged comi are reside	nercial t ential, 5	ouildings are comr	and 2 d nercial.	amaged	fire stati	ons have	e been de	molishe	ed after th	ie 1992 q	uake,
	p 1 UR											r			
Yes															
	chnical Mitigation Standards: 1988 UCBC State Historical Building Code														
	echnical Mitigation Standards: 1988 UCBC State Historical Building Code rogress and Remarks:														
	ue Lake														
Yes		0	N/A	Yes	1								1		
	ion Prog			100										in the second	
	cal Mitig			:											
	s and Re											Salaring			
Bradl	oury														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Braw	ley 66 1	JRM													
Yes	0	66	Yes	No	I	16							[50	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Noti	ces to ow	ners								h		
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards	None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	ar prise.	-1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1											
			IRM, 2 F	listoric U	RM										
Yes	2	25	Yes	Yes	2	2		1		2	15	8			27
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Noti	ces to ow	ners			1999 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -							
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards	None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:			an a			terre transfer			united and a state	and the second			
Brent	wood	7 URM									, ,		,		
Yes	0	7	Yes	Yes	2	7			1				5	5	5
				ntary stre											
				UCBC		11 mm									
Progres	s and Re	marks:	City put	together	a fundi	ng progr	am in 19	92.							

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	ofUR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Brisb	ane 4	URM													
Yes	0	4	Yes	Yes	2					2		2		2	4
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	intary str	engthen	ing								-13	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1985 U	BC and	the City o	of Los A	ngeles D	ivision 8	8; for til	tup conc	rete buil	dings Se	ction 231	4 of
	1973 UBC ss and Re				the second s			use.							
Progres		emarks:	Orainai		overs m	tup build	ings.								
Buen	a Park	C 5 URM	1												
Yes	0	5	Yes	No	1				1						
Mitigal	tion Prog	ram Ty			rengthe	ning									
	cal Mitig						of Los A	ngeles D	vision	88			1		
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Burb	ank 53	URM										and the second second			
Yes	0	53	Yes	Yes		24	2	3	1	1	17	1	1	5	5
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1982 Ec	lition of	Division	88 Los .	Angeles	City Coc	le					
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:											_		Souther and the
Burli	ngam	e 63 UR	М												
Yes	0	63	Yes	Yes	17		9		20		2			6	-
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning				·					
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: Similar	to the F	ebruary	1990 Edi	tion of th	e SSC M	lodel Or	dinance	and a contraction			
com	ss and Re No prog pliance (Iline.	emarks: gress hav complet	Applica ve expire ion of ret	nts are g d plan cl trofit) is J	iven the necks an uly 1, 19	choice to d 2 are it 996. Anti-	o update n probate cipate pr	to UCBC 2. Overal oblems i	Cor SSC 1 progre n getting	Model C ss has be g 2 (of th	Ordinanco en outsta e origina	e—all ch anding. l il 54 proj	lose UCB Final dea perties) t	C. 2 URN dline for o comply	As by
Calex	cico 84	URM													
Yes	0	84	Yes	Yes		17	5	2	1		3	-		11	19
	ion Prog						eports, v	vall anch	ors, and	demolit	ion.				
	al Mitig		andards	: "LA Mo	odel Ord	inance"		:							
Progres	s and Re	marks:	A Norman and		in constants that										
Calif	ornia	City													
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e:												
a hite or could be a set	al Mitig		andards:	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			
						Е			2			-		[
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Calir	oatria	6 URM													
Yes	0	6	Yes	No									[
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mar	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandard	: 1988 E	dition of	the Cou	nty of Lo	os Angel	es Chapt	er 96					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:							A7						
Calis	toga 3	0 URM													
No	0	30	No	No										30	
Mitiga	tion Prog	ram Tyj	pe:												
Techni	echnical Mitigation Standards:														
Progres	echnical Mitigation Standards: rogress and Remarks:														
Cama	amarillo 37 URM														
Yes	0	37	Yes	Yes		18			1					18	37
		and the second sec		datory st											
			andards	: Februa	ry 1990 S	SSC Mod	el Ordin	ance							
Progres	is and Re	marks:													
Cam	obell 9	URM													
Yes	0	9	Yes	No	2									7	
				intary str			-								
				: Comple											
Progres	is and Re	marks:	An earl	ier 1989 p	orogram	of mand	atory str	engtheni	ng was i	realxed in	n 1993.			1.000	
Capit	ola 1 u	JRM		2					ж						
Yes	0	1	Yes	Yes							1				
Mitigat	ion Prog	тат Тур	e: Dem	olition					STREET SCIENCE						
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Carls	bad st	JRM													
Yes	0	8	Yes	Yes			1	5	2					1	8
And				datory st											
		and the second s	andards	UCBC	Appendi	x Chapte	er 1	10000				•			
Progres	s and Re	marks:						land an it at a							

*(

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	ofUR	Ms)			
						F									
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Inven	Num Histo	Num Non-	Mitig	Repli 1995	UCB	ComJurisc	Parti Unce	Retro Issue	Plans	Redu	Demo	Slatec	Warn Poste	No M Progr	ouwC
<u> </u>								1				L	1 - 4		
	rel-by	-the-S	ea 26 B N/a	ldgs URI No	M, Pre-1	.935 with	100+ O	ccupants I	Pre-197	'6 with 30)0+ Occu	pants	1	r	
No			the second second		on oth on			L	L			L			
			pe: Volu				C 4	J's Cl			21.1 10	70 5 1	6.12	LICRC	N
UR	M Buildi	ngs, 198	tandards 5 UCBC	: 1987 Ed	lition of	the UCE	SC Appe	indix Cha	apter 1 to	or UKM I	slags, 19	73 Editio	on of the	UCBC fo	or Non-
Progre		emarks:	20 Bldg	s were re	moved i	rom the	inventor	ry after s	eismic h	azard ev	aluation	reports	were sub	mitted to	o the
			ſ												
Yes	Carpinteria 3 URM . (es 0 3 Yes 2 1 2 1 Aitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 														
						ning				L					
	echnical Mitigation Standards: None Reported rogress and Remarks:														
														190 - al 5- ann	
Carso	on 32 UI	RM													
Yes	0	32	Yes	No		22	10								
			pe: Man		~	<u> </u>									
		Contact of the local division of the local d	andards	: 1985 Ec	lition Cl	hapter 96	of the L	.os Ange	les Cour	nty Code					
Progres	is and Re	emarks:						- Sanato - Sanato							
Cath	edral (City								·····					
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes							1	1			
	ion Prog														
			andards	: 											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Cerri	tos														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe:					L							
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
ChiN	0 27 UR	M	*												
Yes	0	27	Yes	Yes	24	24					3	3	24		24
			e: Posti				ngs will l	be demol	lished du	ue to dov	vntown i	edevelo			
			andards:							- Index of the second					
	s and Re														

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Clare	mont		ric URM	[, 1 Non-1	nistoric	URM						1		1	х. Х.
Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	andards	Yes datory st Parape nteer stre	ts and w	vall anch		2 ugust 199	02.		2	5		I	
Yes Mitigat Technic	cal Mitig	1 gram Typ gation St	andards											<u> </u>	1
	1			M 1 histo			inty								4
Technic Progres majo	al Mitig s and Re or remod	ation St	andards Seismic airs.	datory st : UCBC / evaluatio	Append	ix Chapt	er 1, moo	dified, SH	HBC		, full str	engtheni	ng requ	ired at tin	ne of
Yes Mitigat	0 ion Prog	23 ram Typ	No e:	Yes	2								23		23
Technic Progres	al Mitig	ation Sta marks:	andards	: UCBC ace being	written	in 1995.									
Yes Mitigat	0 ion Prog	1 ram Typ	No e: None	Yes e		1					1			[]	
Progres	s and Re	ation Sta marks: a fire in	Original	: None Ily invent	oried 14	l URMs t	out meta	l detector	rs found	13 reinfo	orced. Th	ne remain	ning sin	gle URM	was
T	nga 66		No	Vee						1	6.1				
		66 ram Typ ation Sta		Yes	2			I		I	64			II	
	s and Re														

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	number	s of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	s	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established		ance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction		Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Slated for Demolition	rds		pa
Com	Number of Historic URMs	: oric l	n Pro ed	ey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Pro	mpli	Retrofit Permit Issued	Unc	yccul	5	Dem	Warning Placards Postod	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
ory	er of ric L	er of Histo	atior lishe	Replied to 1995 Survey	Cor	liand	Cor	it Pe	Subi	6d O	Demolished	for	ng P	tigat	N s
vent	umb istor	dmu d-I-no	litiga	splie	CBC	risd	ncer	etrof	ans	onpa	loma	ated	arni) Mi	vner
In	ŻI	ZZ	Σщ	R6 15	Ď	0곡	പ്പ	Rel	딥	Rí	ă	Sis	Po	Zď	Ó
Colm	a 4 URI	M					.			•••••					
Yes	0	4	Yes	Yes		4			L		l				4
	tion Prog		and the second sec		ners, se	ismic ha	zard eva	luation r	eports r	equired					
	cal Mitig				that No.	na of the	buildin	re have t	oon dot	ormined	to be haz	ardoug	Citudia		11-
engi	ineering	reports.	Reports	mulcale	that ino	ne or me	ounany	gs nave i	een uen	ennineu	to be haz	aruous.	City is re	eviewing	tne
Colto	n 20 UI	RM													
Yes	0	20	Yes	No											20
	tion Prog	Contraction of the local division of the loc			ners	0									
	Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks:														
Progres															
Com	Commerce 9 URM														
Yes	Yes 0 9 Yes No 3 1 5														
	es 0 9 Yes No 3 1 5 Iitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening														
	cal Mitig		andards	: 1985 Ed	lition Ch	apter 96	of the L	os Ange	les Cour	nty Code					
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Comp	oton 18	BURM												ĸ	
Yes	0	18	No	No											
	ion Prog									· · · · · ·					
	cal Mitig		andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:	a ma 647 ° 1949 a												
Conce	ord 12	Nonhist	oric URN	1, 2 histo	ric URM	ſ									
Yes	2	12	Yes	No					×.						
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning with	nin 5 yea	rs							
	al Mitig		andards:	UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapt	er 1								
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Contr	a Cos	ta Co	unty 66	5 URM											
Yes	0	66		No											66
	ion Prog				nly	-									
	al Mitig		in the second				and for a	Jantian i	- 1005	denter de					
Progress	s and Re	marks:	A draft o	brainanc	e is bein	g propos	ed for a	Loption 1	11 1993.						
Coror	1a 14 UI	RM						r							
Yes	0	14	Yes	Yes		12	2			4	1		11		14
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards:	1987 Ec	lition of	the SSC	Model C	rdinance	2						
Progress	s and Re	marks:						,							

age age <th></th> <th>Jurisc</th> <th>liction</th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Su</th> <th>rvey Ro</th> <th>esults (r</th> <th>umbe</th> <th>rs of UR</th> <th>Ms)</th> <th></th> <th>*****</th> <th></th>		Jurisc	liction	•				Su	rvey Ro	esults (r	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)		*****	
Corte Madera 3 URM Yes No Image: Standards in the		Ī	[1	2		T	T	1		T		Τ	
Corte Madera 3 URM Yes No Image: Standards in the	tory Complete	ær of ric URMs	ær of Historic URMs	ation Program dished	ed to Survey	C Compliance	oliance with liction's Prograr	ul Compliance/ r Construction	fit Permit 1	Submitted/ heck Underway	ced Occupancy	lished	l for Demolition	ing Placards	itigation	rs Notified
Yes 0 3 Yes No Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks:	Inven	Numl Histo	Numl Non-	Mitig	Repli 1995	ncBk	Comp Jurisc	Partia Unce	Retro Issue	Plans	Redu	Demo	Slated	Warn Postec	No M Progre	Owne
Yes 0 3 Yes No Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks:	Corte	e Mad	era 31	ЛRM										•		
Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: Costa Mesa Yes O O N/A Yes O O N/A Yes Costa Yes		0			No	[[Ι					1	1		
Progress and Remarks: Costa Mesa Yes 0 0 N/A Yes Image: Standards of Standards	Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Not	ices to O	wners									4	
Costa Mesa Yes 0 N/A Yes Image: Standards of Stan	Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: None											
Yes 0 N/A Yes Nitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Progress and Remarks: Progress and Remarks: Cotati	Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Miligation Program Type: Technical Miligation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Cotati Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 75 Yes 0 75 Yes 0 75 Yes 0 75 Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Costa	a Mes	a													
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Cotati Yes 0 0 N/A Yes	Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Progress and Remarks:	Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Cotati Yes 0 0 N/A Yes	Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	andards	6:											
Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 1 Mitigation Program Type:	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 1 Mitigation Program Type:	Cotat	i														
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Covina 75 URM Yes 0 75 Yes Yes 0 75 Yes Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 0 5 Yes 0 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 Yes 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[</td> <td>[</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>T.</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>			0	N/A	Yes				[[1	T.	1		
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Covina 75 URM Yes 0 Yes 4 60 2 4 15 75 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: Cudahy Yes 0 N/A Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>L</td> <td>L</td> <td>L</td> <td>L</td> <td>L</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>L</td> <td></td>						L	L	L	L	L			1	1	L	
Progress and Remarks: Covina 75 URM Yes 0 75 Yes 4 15 75 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: Progress a	and an other states of the second	and the second sec			:											
Covina 75 URM Yes 0 75 Yes 4 60 2 4 15 75 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None 75 75 Progress and Remarks: Progress and Remarks: 75 75 75 Cudahy Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 1 1 75 Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 1																
Yes 0 75 Yes 4 60 2 4 15 75 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Technical Mitigation Standards: None Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigatio																
Miligation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Miligation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: Cudahy Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 0 65 Yes 0 1 Yes 2 3 2 4 65 7 7 7 7 7 7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						,,										
Technical Miligation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: Cudahy Yes 0 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Miligation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Culver City 65 URM Yes 0 65 Yes 65 Yes 0 65 Yes 65 Yes 0 65 Yes 7 7 7 7 8 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes <					a second a s		60			2		1	4	L	15	75
Progress and Remarks: Cudahy Yes 0 0 N/A Yes						wners										
Cudahy Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 1 1 Mitigation Program Type:				andards	: None	ta interio										
Yes 0 0 N/A Yes Image: Standard	Progres	is and Re	emarks:									a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			tenta antica de com	
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Culver City 65 URM Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 9 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo Yes 0 1 Yes 0 1 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes <td>Cuda</td> <td>hy</td> <td></td>	Cuda	hy														
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Culver City 65 URM Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 2 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: Progress and Remarks: Progress and Remarks: Image: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 Image: Cuperting Type: Mandatory strengthening Image: Cuperting Type: Mandatory strengthening Yes 0 1 Yes 1 Image: Cuperting Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Progress and Remarks: Culver City 65 URM Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 2 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1 <</th1<>	Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Culver City 65 URM Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 2 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code 7 7 7 Progress and Remarks: 7 7 7 7 7 7 CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 7 7 7 7 Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance 7 7 7 7	Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 2 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 1 1 Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance 1 1	Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Yes 0 65 Yes 61 61 2 3 2 4 65 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 1 1 Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance 1 1	Culve	er City	/ 65 URM													
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Edition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes Yes 1		Ť			Yes	61	61	2			3	1	2		4	65
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1982 Édition of Division 88 Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance		ion Prog				rengther	ning						4		•	
Progress and Remarks: CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes Yes 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance								1 88 Los /	Angeles	City Cod	e					
CupertiNo 1 URM Yes 0 1 Yes 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening 1 1 1 Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance 1 1 1								1		111 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11						
Yes 0 1 Yes 1 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance			**************************************													
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance			1 URM									- <u></u>	1		·	
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1990 Edition SSC Model Ordinance			1									1				
				the second s												
Progress and Remarks:				andards	: 1990 Ed	dition SS	C Model	l Ordinar	nce							
	Progres	s and Re	marks:					-								

	Tranta	12					C		11.1	1	(110				
	Jurisc	liction	1			1	Su	rvey Re	sults (i	T	SOLUK	(Ms)	-		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Cypr	ess								•						
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
			tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Daly	City a	URM													
Yes	0	3	Yes	Yes	1									2	3
				datory st					-						
			tandards	: 1987 Ed	lition of	the SSC	Model (Ordinanc	e						
Progres	Progress and Remarks:														
Dana	Dana Point														
Yes	Dana Point Yes 0 0/A Yes Mitigation Program Type:														
and the second se															
			andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
	rille 11	Non-hist		storic UF	RM, all r	etrofits a	re unde	rway,							
Yes	4	1	Yes	No							1	1			5
				ces to ow	Contraction Contractor										
			the second se	None re	-							Telephone and			
Progres	s and Re	marks:	A mand	atory stre	engthen	ing prog	ram was	conside	red for a	doption	in May	1991.			
Davis	-														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No						1	[1	1 1	1	
	ion Prog			INO						L	L	L	<u> </u>		
			andards:												
	s and Re														
	ley Oa								0 Yer (* 1997)			-			
Yes	0	0 1	N/A	Yes								1		1	
	ion Prog	ram Typ	- imminist							1		L	اـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		
			andards:												
	s and Re											and the second secon			
Dela	NO 38 U	RM										*,			
Yes	0	38	Yes	Yes	1		1							37	38
Mitigati	ion Prog			es to ow	ners	L				·		1	<u> </u>		
			andards:								Shitti Conferen				
Progress	s and Re	marks:													

	.			1			C++	THOM Do	culte (n	umhar	of URI	Mrc)			
	Jurisc	liction	1				30	Lvey Re	Juits (1)						
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Dese	rt Hol	Sprin	1951U	IRM							54				
Yes	0	1	Yes	No							1				
	and the second second second	gram Ty	Lucia and a second s	5 BE CRUE				L		<u> </u>			t		
	Charles Charles and the second second	gation S		and the second se					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
		emarks:													
Dian	iond I	Bar													
Yes	0	0	N/A	No	1										
	1itigation Program Type:														
	Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks:														
Progree	Progress and Remarks:														
Divo	Dixon 14 URM														
Dixon 14 URM Yes 0 14 Yes Yes 1 1 1 12 14															
	and and the second s			ices to ow		ismic ret		e triggere	ed upon	sale or a	lteration	s.	.	L	
				: UCBC	Contraction of the owner of the owner.	the second s		00	*						
		emarks:			····										
Doru	DOX 14	D., 105	710041	uildingo	overte	no and t	wo fami	ly dwolli	nas			1. II.			
	$\frac{1100}{0}$	14	Yes	uildings Yes	10	10		1	1	1	2	I	1		
Yes				idatory si			1	L	L		L	l	L	L	
				s: 1985 E			pendix (Chapter 1	. 20% gr	avity for	walls, 13	5 lb. win	d load, 5	0% gravi	ty for
para	apets, dia	aphragm	is 1/2 of	current o	ode.		F	f	, - 0				ter antenation de la		
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Invento	ory Not co	omplete.								an a		
Duar	te											¥			
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes					L	<u> </u>		L			
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:								_ در _ او _ او				
		gation S	tandard	s:											
Progres	ss and R	ema rks:													
Dubl	in														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes					[[
78863940	tion Prop	gram Ty		1			k		1			4			
		gation S		5:											
		emarks:													
East	Palo A	Alto								1 Maria					
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	L												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandard	s:											A
Progre	ss and R	emarks:						2							

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
El Ce	entro 7	0 URM													
Yes	0	. 70	Yes	Yes	1		4	1			2	13			70
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mar	datory p	arapet b	racing, a	dditiona	l strengt	hening a	t the tim	e of rem	odel.			
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1991 Ed	dition of	the UCE	3C Appe	ndix Cha	apter 1			83	50),867,-457,		
Esti Act	mated co	ost of cor ive prog	npliance	es is slow, was app ed on occ	roximat	ely \$5,70	in financ 0,000 in	ing. Con 1993. 198	structior 39 Progra	n cost is r nm: Own	nore tha er Notif	t the val ication. 1	ue of the 1991 Prog	structure ram:	es.
Yes	0	32	Yes	No											
				intary str					8						
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1985 Ed	dition of	the UCE	BC Appe	ndix Cha	apter 1						1
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Owners	s in violat	ion guil	ty of mis	demeaN	lor.							
					5 1										
ElM	onte 2			·							-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Yes	0	25	Yes	Yes	23	23				1				2	2
				lysis requ	iired un	der a fac	ade imp	rovemen	t ordinai	nce.					
	cal Mitig		landards	: None						and the states			Anto an U.S.		
Progre	ss and R	emarks:			-										
El Se	gund	0 14 URI	м												
Yes	0	14	Yes	No		-					i				
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1987 Ed	dition SS	SC Mode	l Ordina	nce							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:							ni e chi		•				1
Emer	ryville	101 UR	М												
Yes	0	101	Yes	Yes	20	30	8	2	3	2	12	3		19	101
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mar	idatory S	trengthe	ening, Str	uctural	analysis	and repo	rt and m	itigatior	1 by 8/9:	3.		
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: Ordina	nce										
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Yes	nitas 2	20	No	Yes							-			20	20
	tion Prog														
A	cal Mitig		tandards					****							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													أستحرج وتعريب

								0							
	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URN	vIs)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Escor	ndido	57 URM	10 10		8										
Yes	0	57	No	Yes	3		26							28	57
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:										ideo vom		
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: Floor t	o wall &	roof to v	wall ties,	parapet	bracing.	Section 5	502, 1991	UBC is I	being uti	lized to r	equire
Progra	onts on o	hanges a	1995 pp	ancy onosed r	rogram.	Volunta	rv with	sunset da	te of 201	5, incen	tives suc	h as Mill	s Act & I	Fee Waiv	ers
Flogre	ss and K	ешаткз.	1770 pr	oposed p	iogram.						······				
Eure	ka 27 U	RM													
Yes	0	27	Yes	Yes	3	PTTN S-CARD 17-1	2		15					• 7	27
	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory s	trengthe	ning, str	uctural a	nalysis,	hardship	time ex	tensions				
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the UCI	3C Appe	ndix Cha	pter 1 as	s modifie	ed				
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Buildin	gs were i	removed	from the	e invento	ory 12/20)/90.						
r															
	ax 4 UR		N	l Var		4	[1		<u> </u>	F	[1		4
Yes	0	4 	Yes	Yes		4						l	L		· · · ·
		gram Ty		UCBC	Appord	ir Chani	or 1								
		emarks:	andards		Append	in Chapt									and the second second
Flogre														1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
Fairf	ield 5	URM, PI	e-1935 w	vith 100+	Occupa	nts Pre-1	976 with	1 300+ Oc	cupants						
Yes	0	5	Yes	Yes		2	1							2	5
	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Volu	intary sti	rengther	ing, hist	orical bu	ildings a	re exem	pt.					
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: None i	included	in the o	rdinance	e, althoug	h Divisi	on 88 is 1	reference	ed in the	report to	the	
	nmissior	emarks:													
Progre	ss and K	emarks.													
Fern	dale														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
	Contract of the second s	gram Ty		L month	L										
		gation S		3:			· · · ·								
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	All exce		JRM bui quakes.	lding de	molished	l after th	e 1906 E	Q damag	ged them	beyond	repair. I	ast URM	[
	ore 64														
Yes	0	64	Yes	Yes	5		1	<u> </u>	6		10		<u> </u>	43	43
						ildingsid	lamageo	l in the 1		arthqua	2007/050	building	gs remain	n vacant '	with
futt	ire unkN	own													
			tandard	s: UCBC	as appli	cable to	damage	d buildin	gs only	12 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													

Jurisdiction		Su	rvey Resul	ts (numbers	of URN	As)		
Inventory Complete Number of Historic URMs Number of Non-Historic URMs Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued Plans Submitted /	Plancheck Underway Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition Warning Placards	Posted No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Fontana 45 Bearing Wall UFYes085YesMitigation Program Type:NotTechnical Mitigation StandardProgress and Remarks:	Yes ices to owners	Wall URM						85
Fort Bragg 1 historic URM, Yes 1 1 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Not Technical Mitigation Standard Progress and Remarks: Contract	Yes 1 ice to owners s: State Historical Bu		de enforcem	ent.			1	2
Fortuna 1 URMYes01YesMitigation Program Type:MarTechnical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:Buildin	: 1987 Edition of the	e UCBC Appen	ndix Chapter	r 1 as modifie	d.	ed.		
Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards Progress and Remarks:	Yes							
Fountain ValleyYes00N/AMitigation Program Type:Technical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:	No		I					
Fremont 32 URMYes032YesMitigation Program Type:ManTechnical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:1990 pro	: 1991 UCBC Apper	ndix Chapter 1	and subseq	uent addition	2 s.		28	32
FresNo CountyYes00N/AMitigation Program Type:Technical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:	Yes							

	Turiod	liction		[Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	ofUR	Ms)			
	Junsu					_		[l'		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Fulle	rton 8	2 Nonhis	storic UF	RM 43 his	toric UR	M 220 T	Ciltup Co	oncrete		20.2.0					
Yes	43	82	Yes	Yes		4	3	3	44					71	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mar	idatory st	rengthe	ning	A								
refe	renced f	or tiltup	construc	: 1987 Ed											
Progres crea	ss and R ited with	emarks: 1 redevel	A separ opment	ate ordir funds - u	nance rec p to \$10	juires re 0,000 loa	trofit of j ns due c	pre-1973 on sale w	tiltup bu ith No ir	ildings. iterest.	A grant	and dete	rred loar	1 program	n was
Gard	en Gr	ove 12	URM	,	4 ¥ 1					-					
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l	L	l	11	11
				ndatory sl									2	nga k	
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: UCBC	Append	ix Chap	ter 1, Sta	te Model	Ordina	nce					
Progree	ss and R	emarks:													
Gard	ena 19	URM. 1	accesso	ry garage	e to 2-res	ident un	uits Not 1	under ma	ndatory	mitigati	on progi	am, dele	ted from	ı list.	
Yes	0	19	Yes	Yes	8	8	1	[.	1	1	T				10
286.003 (1.565)	tion Prop			idatory si	trengthe	ning		1							
				: Latest			90 SSC 1	model or	dinance						
and the second se		emarks:													
	y 30 UI														
Yes		35	Yes	Yes	34	34	16	Γ						-	34
	C		L	untary str				L	L	1			•		
				s: 1985 E			BC Appe	endix Ch	apter 1, f	lat base	shear of	10% g, A	ABK Met	hod.	
		emarks:							*						
		48 URM											1975- HUMANA DAG		
	uale 5	548 UKM	Yes	No		184	T	1	57	Γ	167		Γ	140	
Yes			02/30/204	ndatory s	trengthe	1	1	1		1	1	.L	3	1	L
				s: 13.3%											
		emarks:			buob one										
									- 110-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-						
	dora	1	1	T	Г			1	r	Г	-	T	T .	2	Г — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
Yes	0	5	Yes	No		3	I	<u> </u>		1	Ł		1	<u> </u>	I
				ndatory s	and the second se										
				s: Simila	r to Divi	sion 88 (Lity of L	os Angel	es code						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													

Jurisdiction		Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URN	vIs)							
Inventory Complete Number of Historic URMs Number of Non-Historic URMs Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified				
	No ntary strengthening			Chapter	· 1, 1985	UCBC								
Progress and Remarks:														
Grand TerraceYes00N/AMitigation Program Type:Technical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:	rand Terrace 0 0 Itigation Program Type: Itigation Standards: gress and Remarks: reenfield 14 URM													
	chnical Mitigation Standards: ogress and Remarks: reenfield 14 URM s 0 14 Yes No													
Grover Beach 4 URM								1						
Yes04YesMitigation Program Type:ManaTechnical Mitigation Standards:	: 1991 Edition of the	e SSC Model C				1			4	4				
Progress and Remarks: Building	for building replac	ement allowed	1 withou	thaving	to meet	parking	standar	<u>as.</u>						
Guadalupe40 URMYes040YesMitigation Program Type:MandTechnical Mitigation Standards:	UCBC Appendix (Chapter 1		5		[1		19	27				
Progress and Remarks: An earli Half Moon Bay 2 URM	er 1989 program No	otified owners.		are a star of										
Yes 0 2 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Volu										1				
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Owners														
Hawaiian Gardens Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks:	Yes			İ										

	Jurisc	liction		1			Su	rvey Re	sults (r	number	s of UR	Ms)			
						R I		Γ	[Γ	I	1	1		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	ished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Invent	Numb Histor	Numb Non-F	Mitiga Estab	Replic 1995 9	UCBC	Comp Jurisd	Partia Uncer	Retrof Issued	Plans Plancl	Reduc	Demolished	Slated	Warni Posted	No Mi Progre	Owner
Haw	thorne	4 URM	ſ												
Yes	0	4	Yes	No	••						<u> </u>	[1	
	tion Prop	gram Ty		ices to ov	vners, se	ismic ret	rofits tri	ggered o	nly upor	n change	of use o	r alterati	ions.	II	
				: 1990 SS											
Progres	s and R	emarks:													
Havv	vard 4	5 URM 1	.30 Tiltu												
Yes	0	46	Yes	Yes	12	12	12	7	12	1	2	1		I	46
Mitigal	ion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Mar	datory st	rengthe	ning					L	and the second	L	L	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	tandards	: Similar	to Divis	sion 88 C	ity of Lo	s Angele	s Code,	1973 UB	C for Til	up Retr	ofits		
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Heald	lsburg	26 I IR	м	,	2										
Yes	0	26	Yes	Yes	10		1			1			26		26
	ion Prog			Ordinan		Advisor		vith comp	oliance v	1	until 19	96.	1.0		
			in the second second	: UCBC										-	
				ory meas						/16/96					
Home	et 70 UR	2.4				a - ta digation									
Yes	0	70	No	No				1							
	ion Prog	1		140				1		ll			I I	l	
			andards	:						12					
				on of a M	andator	v progra	m consid	lered 3/9	2.						
			·····												
Hercu	iles 31	JRM													
Yes	0	3	Yes	Yes								2		1	3
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Noti	ces to ow	ners										
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	2 bldgs	are slated	l for den	nolition b	pefore th	e end of	May 199	95.					
Herm	osa B	each 6	6 URM												
Yes	0	66	Yes	Yes	6	6	3		6					35	50
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengther	ning							L		
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards	UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapte	er 1								
Progress	and Re	marks:	An earli	er ordina	nce in 19	989 Noti	fied owr	lers.							
Hespe	eria 21	IRM - bi	istorical										1		
Yes	2	0	Yes	Yes	1	1				T					2
				ussions w	rith own	ers	l							l	
				State H			Code								
				ns to dev				e/Site O	rdinance	2.					
			- pia		- op a ri		Junacial	2, 5 0						(1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	

*

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	of URN	As)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Hidd	len Hi	lls				harren er	1						<u>k</u>		
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes							· ·				[
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	:											
Progre	ss and Ro	emarks:													
the second se	land 1				Colorente income de se										
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes			2	1	2			4		10	12
	tion Prog		all a	and the second s				11 01		1 (7)) (1	21.1 40	70 17 11.1			
Techni URM	cal Mitig A Buildin	igs, 1985	andards UCBC	: 1987 Ec	dition of	f the UCE	SC Appe	ndix Cha	apter 1 fo	or URM I	31dgs, 197	/3 Editio	on of the	UCBC fo	or Non-
	and the second se	~	the second second	inal cou	nt of 35	units wa	s lowere	d to 12 U	IRMs all	pre-1933	dwellin	gs			
									*						
Hills	borou	gh													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	ion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	:							NAMES OF COMPANY				
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:		107 10 no N											
	ster 91														
Yes	0	9	Yes	Yes	2	2								7	· · · ·
	ion Prog					1				in the					
	cal Mitig		andards	55C M	bael Ord	inance									
Progres	s and Re	emarks:		-							New York Concerning				
Holty	ville 41	JRM													
Yes	0	4	No	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ				L					l				
Technie	al Mitig	ation St	andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Hum	boldt	Coun	ty 7 UR	M											
Yes	0	7	Yes	Yes										6	1
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory st	rengthe	ning									
	al Mitig	the second se													
	s and Re ress on c			ake dama	aged UI	RM build	ings sha	ll be repa	ired and	l retrofit	ted to cor	nply wi	th UCBC	. Some	

<u>,</u>	Jurisc	liction					Su	urvey Re	esults (r	umber	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Hunt	ingto	n Bea	ch 51 U	RM	_										
Yes	0	51	Yes	Yes		9			1		33			7	
•			pe: Man				an an 19310	13 55							
			the second second second		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						g materia	ls			
Progres	s and R	emarks:	Majority	y of struc	tures at	tained co	mplianc	e throug	h demol	ition.					
Hunt	ingto	n Park	C 132 UT	M											
Yes	0	132	Yes	Yes	125	125	2		Γ	1	1	1	. 1	3	132
			pe: Man												1.02
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards				1 88 Los .	Angeles	City Cod	le, and	the Los A	ngeles'	Rules for	r Genera	1
	lication			1 100	e e t int	() · · · · ·	Man C. M					and the second second			
Progres	s and Ke	emarks:	As of M	arch 199	5, 5 UKN	is nave i	Not rully	r complie	<i>z</i> a.		A100				
Impe	rial 21	JRM					.52								
Yes	0	2	No	No									-	1	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe:									L			+
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:								CA COLUMN			
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Turne	rial C														
Yes	rial C	0	N/A	No							1		1	1	
	ion Prog			140							, le construction de la construc		1	1	
			andards												
	s and Re		andaras												
0											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
India	n Wel	ls													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	ion Prog														
			andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
India	40 I ID)														
	48 URM		V	Nte		T					1		1	1	10
Yes	0	48		No	1						1				48
	1.		e: Notic		ners					12-01-044					
			andards:	тиопе											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Indus	try 11	ЛRM												•	
Yes	0	1	Yes	Yes											1
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards:												
	s and Re													-	

	Jurisd	liction	1 (B)			and the local distance of the local distance	Su	rvey Re	esults (r	numbers	of UR	Ms)			
mplete	Ms	c URMs	rogram		liance	with Program	pliance/ ruction	lit	tted/ nderway	upancy		molition	cards	E	fied
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
	2.4											S	>C	20	0
Ingle	ewood	54 URN	Л												
Yes	0	54	Yes	Yes		50	2	3	3		4	1			
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig			and the second se											
Progres	ss and Re ng rédeve	emarks: lopmen	City rein t money.	mburses 80% con	up to \$3 npliance	000 of th e.	ie cost of	enginee	ering stud	dies, 1009	% of plar	ı check f	ees, pern	nits, and I	axes,
Inyo	Coun	ty 20 UI	RM												
No	0	20	No	No											
	tion Prog														Yangi
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	marks:											·····		
Irvin	rogress and Remarks:														
Yes			and the second second	Yes			L		-						
						/	(
	cal Mitig ss and Re		andards	:									·····		
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Irwin	idale 2	URM													
Yes	0	2	Yes	Yes		1			1						2
	ion Prog														
			andards	: 1985 Ed	lition Ch	napter 96	of the L	.os Ange	les Cour	nty Code					
Progres	s and Re	marks:			-				a notated a					en anna an	
Kern	Coun	tv 155 I	IRM												
Yes	0	155	Yes	Yes	1					2	3			149	155
	ion Prog				ners							L			
	al Mitig														
Progres	s and Re	marks:	County	staff is a	vailable	to provid	le guida	nce conc	erning n	neasures	to retrof	it buildi	ngs.		
King	City 6	URM					v								
Yes	0	6	Yes	Yes		6								6	6
	ion Prog														
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1991 Ec	lition of	the UCB	C Appe	ndix Cha	pter 1						
Progres	s and Re	marks:	1-1												
Kings	s Cour	ıty													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards:						1						
Progress	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisd	liction	n na hi an an ta				Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)	olimi ya sa ba a		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Yes Mitiga Techni	anada 0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Ro	0 gram Tyj gation S	N/A pe:	No											
La H Yes Mitiga Techni	abra 15 0 tion Prog	5 URM 15 gram Typ gation St		Yes datory st : 1987 Ec			l Ordina	nce			8			3	
Yes Mitigal Techni Progres La M Yes	abra H 0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Ro irada 0 tion Prog	15 gram Tyy gation St emarks: 0	No pe: tandards N/A	No : Yes pted with	n Los Ar	6 geles Co	Junty				9				
Progress La Pa Yes Mitigat Technic Progress	0 tion Prog cal Mitig	0 gram Typ gation St emarks:	N/A pe: andards Workin	Yes : g with pr	operty c	owners o	n a volu	ntary cor	npliance	e progran	n for pre	-1973 til	tup concr	-ete builc	lings,
Yes Mitigat Techni Progres	cal Mitig as and Re	21 gram Typ gation St emarks:	andards								2				
Yes Mitigal Techni	2 tion Prog	5 gram Typ gation St	Yes pe: Man	RM 2 His Yes datory st : 1987 Ec	3 rengthe	3 ning	l Ordina	nce	3			1			7

æ

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	vIs)			
lete		RMs	ram		ce	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	nce/ tion		Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	ncy		Slated for Demolition	s		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	2	UCBC Compliance	s Pro	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	mit	itted Jnde	Reduced Occupancy		emol	Warning Placards Posted	ц.	Owners Notified
N N	of UF	rof stor	ion I shed	Replied to 1995 Survey	Com	ance	Com	Retrofit Permit Issued	l h	l o	hed	D 10	S Pla	No Mitigation Progress	Not
entor	nber toric	mber n-Hi	ablis	5 Su	BCO	nplia	tial (rofit	ns Su nche	Incex	Demolished	ed fe	nin g	Mitil	ners
Inve	His	Na	Mil	Rep 199	. n	Juri	Par Un	Ret Issu	Pla	Red	Der	Slat	Post	Prog	OWI
-											700 a.e	an sa			
La V	erne 10												-		
Yes	0	10	Yes	Yes	3	3					2			6	
	tion Prog				Trian and the second										
	cal Mitig									-					
com	ss and Re pleting 2 fing leav	URM b	uildings	this next	fiscal ye	ear. One	building	g was co	mpleted	r seismic in 90/91	(funded	92/93=	year 92/ 1, 93/94=	93 with g =1) with a	oal of gency
Lafax	yette 6	URM		<u>72</u>											
Yes	0	6	Yes	No						<u> </u>					6
	tion Prog	ram Ty			only										
	cal Mitig			- manufacture		2010									
Progres	ss and Re	marks:	Contrac	ts with C	Contra Co	osta Cou	nty. A d	raft ordi	nance is	being pro	posed in	n 1995.			
	and the second second second	*****	n - and manifold				and the second					and the second se			
Lagu	na Bea	ich 29	URM		,										
Yes	0	29	Yes	Yes	20	20		1	2					6	
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	: Similar	to Divis	sion 88 C	ity of Lo	s Angele	es Code						
Progres	ss and Re	marks:													
Lake	Coun	tv 11 U	RM												
Yes		11	Yes	Yes	9	10	1			1			1		2
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ		1	rengthe	ning, his	oric buil	ldings ar	e exemp	t.		- 11 D.			
Technie eval	cal Mitig uation re	ation St	andards: osting, b	UCBC	Append parapet	ix Chapt s and ve	er 1 as m neer, ful	odified, I strengt	latest ed	ition of t equired a	he Unifo at time of	rm Build major r	ting Cod emodel o	e, seismi or repairs	с ;.
	s and Re														
Lake	ElsiN	070 54	Non his	oria (III)	122 his	loria I IDI	м								
Yes	33	54	Yes	No	VI 55 IUS									1	
	ion Prog				ners		I		l	l					
	cal Mitig					ix Chapte	er 1 as m	odified							
	s and Re				11	t									
							a) - con = n.co								
h	port 33														
Yes	0	33	Yes	Yes	1	27	2	1					33	2	33
ofm	ion Prog ajor remo	odel or r	epairs, h	istoric bu	uildings	are exem	npt				eer, full	strength	ening re	quired at	time
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards:	UCBBC	Append	dix Chap	ter 1 as i	modified	, 1985 U	BC					
Progres	s and Re	marks:													

.

				T			1027								
	Jurisc	liction			1	[Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)	T	1	
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Lake	wood														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes										(
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:									d	L		
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:								-					
Lanca	aster 7	URM													
Yes	0	7	Yes	No								1		7	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1985 Ec	dition Cl	hapter 96	of the L	os Ange	les Coun	ty Code					
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Larks	pur 12	URM													
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes	4										10
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
			andards	UCBC	Append	ix Chapte	er 1								
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Lawn	dale 3	URM													
Yes	0	3	Yes	Yes	3	3						1		1	4
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1985 Ec	lition Cl	napter 96	of the L	os Angel	es Coun	ty Code					
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Liver	more	48 I IRM													
Yes	0	48	Yes	Yes	1	17			4		1			35	57
	ion Prog			datory st	rengther		L								
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards:	Modifie	ed 1990 9	SSC Mod	el Ordin	ance							
Progress	s and Re	marks:	9 bldgs l	nave com	pleted a	n engine	ering an	alysis.							
Ŧ	T • 1											an annara an			
				URM, 50) Histori	c URM P	re-1935	with 100-	+ Occupa	ants Pre-	1976 wi	th 300+ 0	Decupant	s	
Yes	50	8	Yes	No											
				1987 Ed			C Appor	dix Cha	ntor 1 for	IDMR	Idae 10'	72 Editio	n of the l	IBC for	Von
URM	1 Buildin	gs, 1985	UBC	1907 Ed			C Apper				lugs, 19.		n or men		4011-
rogres	s and Re	marks:													
Lomil	ta 17 UR	M													
Yes	0	17	Yes	No		7		4	1					5	
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ		latory sti	rengther	ning									
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	1985 Ed	lition Ch	apter 96	of the Lo	os Angel	es Count	y Code					
Progress	s and Re	marks:						4							

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
		44				0-						S	> 4	24	0
Lom	poc 21 1	JRM											•		
Yes	0	21	No	No											
	tion Prog			-											
	cal Mitig		tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Long	Beach	1 936 UI	RM bear	ing and	Nonbeau	ring wall	bldgs al	l pre-193	4						
Yes	0	936	Yes	Yes		523	27	5	9	[361	7			4
	tion Prog				trengthe					L		· · · ·	1		
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1970 E	dition of	the UBC	, propos	ed ordir	ance cha	anges are	e based o , buildin	n the lat	est propo	osed ICB	O code
										the second se					
1971	a manda	atory str	engtheni	ng ordir	nance wa	s passed	, which	was ame	nded in	1976 and	bet and aj l updated	again i	n 1990. C	ity creat	eda
spec	al assess	sment di	strict to	issue boi	nas for s	eismic re	tront nn	ancing b	ased on	the 1911	Bond Ad	ct.			
Los A	lamit	os													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe:												
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	9							•				
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Los A	ltos 35	TIRM					<u>.</u>								
Yes	0	35	Yes	Yes		6			· · · ·	1			1	1	1
	ion Prog				vners, re		volunta	ry upgra	des						
	cal Mitig					1		5 10							
Progres	s and Re	marks:	Conside	ration is	being gi	iven to a	more res	strictive	mandato may be	ry stren	gthening The other	program	n. After f	urther st	udy,
that	they do l	Not have	e a URM	or their	building	; is Not a	URM. T	he placa	rd poste	d on one	URM ha	s disapp	beared.		- p.cor
Los A	ltos H	lills													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes		1									
	ion Prog										d		Ll	<u>.</u>	
	al Mitig														
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
					A		and the second	1		olariy - Cojin-	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				
											building				JRM
Yes	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	9,009	Yes	Yes	5817	5832	144	24	23	310	all URM 1697	310	s are nis	1763	9483
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning for b	pearing v	wall URN	I bldgs,	Notices	to owner		n-bearing		
	s ,and de									×		1	1	.1.:	
parts	of the A lication R	BK Met	hod, in p	articular	r demano	d/capaci	ty and d	isplacem	ient chec	ks for ro	h technic of diaph	al ameno ragms, I	dments v Rules for	General	uire
Progress	s and Re	marks:	A manda	atory str	engtheni	ing progr	am for l	Von-beau			uildings nd Nonb				51

	Turisd	liction		[antender einen St		Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URM	vIs)	inania anti-secondojo		1
		1				a				-					
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Los A	Angele	es Cou	inty 27	78 Non-h	istoric U	RM, 3 hi	storic U	RM all be	earing w	all					
Yes	3	278	Yes	Yes	248	248	21	2	2		16	11		14	283
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1992 Ed	dition C	hapter 96	6 of the I	los Ange	les Cour	ity Code	- similar	to Divis	sion 88 of	the Los	
	eles City	emarks:												an a su a	
Tiogre												an alson a nation ann an a			
Los (Gatos	15 Non-l	nistoric l	JRM 6 hi	istoric U	RM									
Yes	6	15	Yes	No		13	4							4	
				datory st											
dan	laged No	on-URM	bldgs, C	: 1991 Ed hapter 37	7 of the 9	P1 UBC F	or chimi	ney repai	r						
Progres	s and R	emarks:	Revocal	ion of oc providing	cupancy	for bui	ldings th	iat do No	ot comply	y with de	eadline. (City allo	ws replac	cement o	f
Lvnv	vood 1	5 URM													
No	0	15	No	No				-							
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	•											1
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards							_	V	I			
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Mam	moth	Lake	5												
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:							50 O					
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	::											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Man	hattan	Beac	h 12 UF	M comm	nercial o	ne story	building	s							
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes		12									
				datory st											
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: Similar	to Divi	sion 88 L	.os Ange	eles City	Code		100010000000000000000000000000000000000				
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	All mar	ndatory s	trengthe	ening wa	s implen	nented a	nd comp	leted					
Mari	copa 1	6 URM													
Yes		16	No	Yes								S.		16	
	tion Prop	gram Ty							2-M						
		gation S):											
		emarks:						an 19							

21₀

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Complete	IRMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	ey	npliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	rmit	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	xcupancy	9	Slated for Demolition	lacards	tion	otified
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Histo	Mitigatior Establisho	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliano Jurisdictio	Partial Co Uncer Cor	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Subr Plancheck	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mari	n Cou	nty 1 t	JRM												
Yes	0	1	Yes	Yes				1		L					
	tion Prog				ner with	an orde	r to strer	ngthen or	demolis	sh					
	cal Mitig		tandards	: None						*****					
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mari															
Yes	fitigation Program Type:														
	tigation Program Type: chnical Mitigation Standards:														
	echnical Mitigation Standards: rogress and Remarks:														
	fartinez 58 URM														
	Aartinez 58 URM es 0 58 Yes 6 8 14 30 58														
Yes	ion Prog			1		8	14							30	58
	cal Mitig					lanned t	o be ado	nted							
	s and Re			oturidu	uo ure p			<u>pica:</u>							
				and the second	in the second second						-				
	wood 2														
Yes	0	25	Yes	Yes	19	18				1	9	1			18
	ion Prog cal Mitig		the second se		~		f Divici	on 88 of	halos d	ngolos	City Cod	0			
	s and Re		anuarus;	Similar	10 1902	Eutton		011 00 01	ILLE LOS P	angeles	city Cou	e			
Tiogres		marks.													
McFa	rland	16 URM	ſ												
Yes	0	16	No	No								-			
Mitigat	ion Prog	гат Тур	oe:												
Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards:	1											1
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Mend	lociNo	o Cou	nty 5 U	IRM											
Yes	0	5	No	No											
	ion Prog		the second second second					-							
	al Mitig		andards:												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Menl	o Park	2 Noni	nistoric L	ЛRM											
Yes	0	2	Yes	Yes							1			1	1
	ion Prog						and the second								
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	1985 UG	CBC App	pendix C	hapter 1	, State H	istorical	Building	g Code				
Progress	s and Re	marks:													

	Turisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	s of URI	Ms)			
	Juno		1			-					[1		
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
	Valle	y 18 URI	м	I <u></u> I							k	1	<u> </u>		
Yes	0	18	Yes	Yes	14	14	1								18
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1987 Ed	dition SS	C Mode	l Ordina	nce							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
MillI	orae 3	URM													
Yes	Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening														
Techni	Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code as modified, 1985 UBC Progress and Remarks:														
Progre	Progress and Remarks:														
Milp	Milpitas 1 URM														
Yes	0	1	Yes	Yes							3	<u> </u>	1	L	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Dar	igerous I	Buildings	3										ode for th		19.000
Progree URI	ss and R M left. Tl	emarks: nis build	Strengtl ing is cit	nening de y owned,	eadline i , a comp	s negotia lete seisi	able dep nic retro	ending o ofit has be	n owner en recer	's financ htly com	ial situat pleted.	ion. Onl	y 1 build	ing class	ined as
Miss	ion V	iejo) 	[r	τ		1	1		1	I	
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes		l				<u> </u>	l	1		L	l
		gram Ty	2011 10												
		gation S	tandards	:		a standard and									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:					the second second		101						
MoN	ίο Coι	inty 8	URM	,		-				1		1			r
Yes	0	8	No	No						L	1	1		L	L
		gram Ty				<u></u>	-								
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:		- 1 ML									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:									<u></u>				
Mon	rovia	75 URM						8							
Yes	0	75	Yes	Yes		73			2		1			2	2
				datory sl				2				an tak			
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: Wall a	nchorag	e, parape	et bracin	g and he	ight to tl	nickness	requirer	nents on	ıly.		
Progre	ss and R	emarks:												- 61754-6	

. .

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
						5	Γ				1	T	1	1	
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
	tclair						1								
		0	N/A	Yes			1			1	I		,		
Yes	tion Prog			res			l			1		L			
	cal Mitig			•					9						
	ss and R		andards					1000				istream.			
110510													*****		
Mon	te Sere	eNo													
Yes	0	0	N/A	No					(
Mitigal	litigation Program Type: echnical Mitigation Standards:														
Techni	echnical Mitigation Standards:														
Progres	rechnical Miligation Standards: Progress and Remarks:														
Mont	Aontebello 20 URM														
Yes	. 0	20	Yes	No											
the second second	ion Prog	ram Typ			rengther	ning								l	
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: Similar	to the 1	985 Editi	ion of Ch	apter 96	of the L	os Angel	es Coun	ty Code			
Progres	s and Re	marks:											an an da		
Mont	erey 4	2 LIRM									R)				
Yes	0	42	Yes	Yes	5	29	4		2			2		13	42
	ion Prog							ildings a		ot.				10	
											er 1, 1988	UBC fo	r base sh	ear.	
	s and Re														
Mont	erey (Count	Y 2 URN	1											
Yes	0	2	Yes	Yes					1		1		2	-	
Mitigat	ion Prog	гат Тур	e: Dem	olition/r	etrofit										
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	More											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	Demolis	hed - His	storic Sp	reckels E	Building								
Mont	erey P	ark 26	TRM												
Yes	0	26	Yes	Yes	18	18		2		1	3			2	
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig						SSC Mod	el Ordin	ance		- en el la com		and a standard and		
	s and Re														
Moor	park 7	URM													
Yes	0	7	Yes	Yes	T	5	1							T	1
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory?											
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:												
Dragrad	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisd	iction	- (***	[Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mora Yes	ga 0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitigal	ion Prog	ram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:	Contrac	ts with C	Countra (Costa Co	unty for	code en	forcemer	nt.					
More	No V	alley						(II.) - II. (A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A							
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
Mitigat	tigation Program Type: chnical Mitigation Standards:														
Technie	echnical Mitigation Standards:														
Progres	rogress and Remarks:														
Morg	Iorgan Hill 6 Non-historic URM, 2 historic URM														
Yes	2	12	Yes	Yes		7	1				6				
	in the second seco			datory st											
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1982 Ec	dition of	the Los A	Angeles	City Cod	le						
Progres	s and Re	marks:	This em	ergency	ordinanc	e was pa	assed to a	repair an	d retrofi	t earthqu	iake dan	naged Ul	RM build	lings.	
Morre	o Bay	16 URM						× *							
Yes	0	16	Yes	Yes	1	1		2	6			1			16
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: Similar	to 1987	Edition S	SSC Mod	lel Ordin	ance						
Progres	s and Re	marks:	46 build	ings wer	e origina	ally inver	ntoried a	nd 30 w	ere found	d to be re	einforced	i.			
Mour	ntain ^v	View	25 Non-ł	nistoric U	ЛRM										
Yes	0	25	Yes	No										25	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Notio	ces to ow	ners, ret	rofits are	e trigger	ed upon	remodel	or reNo	vation.				
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	UCBC	Appendi	x Chapte	er 1								
Progres: defir	s and Re led time	marks: period t	1992: Dr o be app	afting ar roved by	ordinar city cou	nce for la Incil.	te summ	ier that v	vill requi	ire mand	atory ev	aluation	and upg	rade wit	hin
Napa	45 URM		,									,			
Yes	0	45	No	No											
Mitigati	~														
			andards:												
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
Napa	Coun	ty 7 UR	RM												
Yes	0	7	Yes	Yes	1		1			1				6	7
Mitigati	on Prog	гат Тур	e: Other	r											
Technic	al Mitig.	ation Sta	andards:	UCBC .	Appendi	x Ch I fo	r occupa	incy chai	nges and	structur	al upgra	ides.			
Progress	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
New	ark					L. Trinnaur.				L	L	1	1		
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes										Γ	
Mitiga	gation Program Type: nnical Mitigation Standards:														
				:	al anti e star i sa										
	ss and R														
Now	nort B	aach	124 Mar	Listavis I	TDM 2	Lista-is I	IDM						The state		
	Iewport Beach 124 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM es 3 124 Yes 121 1 1 2 1 1														
Yes								1	1	2	1	1	<u> </u>	L	
			pe: Man				CDC +		01						
			landards	: Curren	t Editior	t of the C	CBC A	opendix	Chapter	1					
Progres	echnical Mitigation Standards: Current Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 rogress and Remarks:														
Norce	Iorco 3 URM														
Yes	0	3	No	No											
	ion Prog														
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Norw	alk 11	URM													
Yes	0	11	No	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe:												
Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Nova	to 1 UR	M													
Yes	0	1	No	Yes	1			T	1	1			1		
	ion Prog	ram Typ			l								1		
			andards												
			Retrofit	and the second second	was reje	ected due	e to local	historica	al desigr	n review	issues.				
	_		ng wall ty								Alignities				
Yes	0	1,483	Yes	Yes	102	129	171	26	10		28	15		1129	1483
			e: Mano						11-11-11-1	Volunta		737.4	rade pro		
Technic para comp upgr brace	al Mitig pets, rem plying w aded to	ation Station Station Station Station Statistics of the state of the s	andards: ix other of nandator with the emove or	Bearing exterior f y standa voluntar	wall bu alling ha rds only y standa	ildings: azards; V will rem rd. Fran	Mandato oluntary ain on t ne struct	ory stand y standar he list of ures with	ard - bo d - UCE potentia h URM i	lts plus t SC Apper ally haza infill wal	ie roof a ndix Cha rdous U ls: Mand	nd floor apter 1; 1 RM buil latory st	s to exter Note - bu dings un	ior walls ildings til they a	re

	Jurisc	liction		l			Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
										ļ					
1.00				URM 2 h		RM								(0)	70
Yes	2	70	Yes	Yes	9	11	3	limit 1	1.00000		ativo dat	o of ordi		69	72
Mitiga	tion Prog odeling	gram 1 y occurs e	pe: Para xceeding	50% of t	ng & wa he value	of the b	uilding.	ie limit i	i years r	rom ene	ctive dat	eorora	nance, or	when	
Techni Cod	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: UCBC	Append	ix Chap	ter 1, Feb	oruary 19	91 Editio	on, SSC N	Model Or	rdinance	, State H	istoric Bu	uilding
Progres	ee and R	ıg; 8/12	Service: /92 revis	s of order sed timel	to be se ines; 3/1	ent to all /95 revi	URMs p ised man	er revise datory s	d ordina trengthe	nce. Mit ning ord	igation a inance to	doption: o require	5/24/91 only par	mandat apet bra	ory cing &
Ojai	29 URM										-				
Yes	is 0 29 Yes No 16 2 3 8														
Mitiga	tigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening														
Techni	chnical Mitigation Standards: Yes-type Not reported														
Progree	ss and R	emarks:	A 1990	program	Notified	l owners	i.								
Onta	rio 65 (JRM													
Yes	0	65	No	No										65	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	The city	v building	g depart	ment is p	olanning	to propo	ose a UR	M progr	am to cit	y counci	l in the s	ummer o	f 1995.
Oran	99 35 N	Jon-histo	oric URN	1 43 Histo	oric URN	A.									
Yes	43	35	Yes	Yes	29	29		49						49	78
	- mainten -	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to ov	vners										
-				URM c		e 7-92				-					
		emarks:				-		7							
Oran	ige Co	unty	13 URM												
Yes	0	13	Yes	Yes					5	3		3		2	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Mar	datory s	trengthe	ning									
				: Divisio											
Progres 2 bu	ss and R uilding re	emarks: evaluate	\$2 milli ed as No	on set as t a URM	ide for a or Not i	nalyses, n jurisdi	5 fire sta ction, 3 r	tions to l elocatior	pe retrof 1s.	itted by	May 199	6, 1 fire s	station to	be demo	lished,
Orin	X														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes			1		ſ	1		[
		gram Ty		L		L	L	L					A second second		
			tandards	s:							5				
		-		ts with C	Contra C	osta Cou	inty for a	ode enfo	rcement	·					

	Jurisdiction Survey Results (numbers of URMs)														
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
	urd 53 U) T					(Г			1			
Yes	0	53	No	No										53	
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig							D) (11							
Progres	time oth	er than t	o Notify	n the pro owners.	cess of c	levelopii	ng the U	RM ordi	nance an	d condu	cting pu	blic hear	ings. No	enforcer	nent at
										r			2	10	
	acific Grove 8 historic URM 3 Non-historic URM es 8 3 Yes 1 1 10 11 fitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, including all pre-1976 occupancy buildings 10 11														
	Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks:														
Progres															
Pacif	es 0 0 N/A Yes														
Yes	es 0 0 N/A Yes														
	ion Prog														
Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:				- 14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-1									
Palm	Desei	t 3 URI	Ms				,								
Yes	0	3	Yes	Yes	3										
	ion Prog														
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	UCBC	Append	ix Chapte	er 1								
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Palm	Sprin	gs 11 N	Non-histo	oric URM	l 15 hist	oric URN	1								
Yes	15	11	Yes	Yes		11	1	10	1	1	2				26
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	Modifie	ed 1987 1	Edition o	f the SSC	C Model	Ordinan	ce					
Progres	s and Re	marks:	h1												
Palmo	dale														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes	1		1	1		1			T		
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Typ				k	L								
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:												
	s and Re												an a		
Palo A	Alto 49	URM 2	28 Pre-19	35 bldgs	with 10) or more	2 occupa	nts. 21 p	re-76 bld	lgs with	300 or m	ore occu	pants		
Yes	0	49		No	1								F units		
	ion Prog				engtheni	ng	l	l			l		L		
					~	-	er 1 for I	JRM bui	Idings 1	973 UBC	for Nor	1-URM F	uildings		
- and a	s and Re												ananigo		
1.00.00							ourca			quincin	Sitto arc				

	Jurisc	liction					Su	urvey Re	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
0			Е			ram			1		Τ	1	Τ	Ī	
Inventory Complete	4s	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established		UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction		Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted		ed
Con	Number of Historic URMs	oric	n Pr ed	Replied to 1995 Survey	Idm	nce w	nstr	Retrofit Permit Issued	- Un	Occi	म्र	Der	Plac	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
tory	er o	Hist	atio	sd to	S	lian	00	lit P	Sub	led (lishe	for	Build	itiga	rs N
ven	isto	dumh on-l-no	litig	eplic 95	CBC	omp irisd	artia	etrol	ans anc	pube	Demolished	ated	arni	o M ogr	vne
In	ŹŦ	ŻŻ	≥⊡	Rc 15	Ď	이크	C D	R. Is	Id	R	Ď	SI	Po	Žď	Ó
Palos	s Verd	les Est	tates 2	URM											
Yes	0	2	Yes	Yes	2										2
-				ndatory st											
			tandards	SSC M	odel Orc	linance									
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	an ing a substance												
Parai	nounl	7 URM	8												
No	0	7	N/a	No									L		7
	tigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening chnical Mitigation Standards: Chapter 96 County of Los Angeles														
	Fechnical Mitigation Standards: Chapter 96 County of Los Angeles Progress and Remarks: An earlier 1990 program provided Notices to owners.														
Progres															
Pasad															
Yes	Pasadena 410 URM Ves 0 410 Yes No														
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Othe	2r											
				UCBC											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:	This pro	ogram wi	ll becom	ne manda	atory one	ce a "city	financin	g plan" i	is in plac	e			
Paso	Roble	S 58 UR	M												
Yes	0	58	No	Yes		3								55	58
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:		4										
Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:					10-10-0							- 200 - 100	
Perris	5 17 URN	м													
Yes	0	17	No	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Petalı	ıma 62	2 Non-hi	storic U	RM 32 Hi	istoric U	RM 5 pr	e-1934 co	oncrete b	ldgs 12/	11/89					
Yes	32	62	Yes	Yes		11	5								98
Mitigat	internet in the second second			al streng	thening-	-bolts o	nly								
		ation St.													
Progres	s and Re	marks:	A 1989	program	Notified	owners	and tena	ants.							
Pico I	Rivera	7 URM										÷.			
Yes	0	7 0101	Yes	Yes	5	5			1			[1		1
				datory st					-				L]		
				UCBC			er 1, 198	7 Edition							
	s and Re				PPend	chapt		Lattion							
riogress	s and Re	marks.				-								-	

Jurisdiction													
Inventory Complete • Number of Historic URMs Number of Non-Historic URMs Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified			
PiedmontYes00N/AMitigation Program Type:Technical Mitigation StandardProgress and Remarks:	No												
PiNole 6 URM Yes 0 6 No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standard Progress and Remarks:	Yes 1			1	1	1							
Pismo Beach 39 URM 'es 0 39 Yes Yes 11 11 2 1 15 Aitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening													
Pittsburg 38 URM Yes 0 38 No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standard Progress and Remarks:	No												
Placentia 16 URM Yes 0 16 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Volinuse, application for any but the second s	uilding permit or us :: None	se permit,	, or developn	ient plan.		mic retro	ofit is ma	andatory	upon ch	6 ange			
Pleasant Hill Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standard Progress and Remarks:	No												
Pleasanton 37 URMYes037YesMitigation Program Type:MarTechnical Mitigation StandardsProgress and Remarks:		-	1 2	3			2	37	3	37			

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Inve	Nun Hist	Nun Nor	Mit Esta	Rep 1999	nci	Con Jurié	Part Unc	Retr Issu	Plan Plar	Rod	Den	Slate	War Post	No N Prog	Own
Poin	t Aren	a Not R	Reported						ha na kao amin'					<u></u>	
No			No	No											
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:		-										
	cal Mitig				64-11-1										
Progree	ss and R	emarks:	Indicate	ed invent	ory start	ted but N	lot comp	pleted in	1992 sur	vey. No a	activity r	reported	since.		
	ona %							-						A	
Yes	0	96	No	Yes	6	6	3				1	1	L	86	
	tion Prog			200 - 1 A (1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A											
	cal Mitig			and the second se	1000					,					
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	Propose	ed summ	er 1992 d	ordinanc	e will be	tied into	a specia	l assessr	nent dist	rict or si	imilar fin	ancing.	
Port]	Port Hueneme														
Yes	Yes 0 0 N/A No														
	ion Prog	ram Typ		L <u></u> 1			on an Administration			1	1		L		
	cal Mitig			:									1.0		
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Dorto	1. 1/21	larr													
	la Val		NT/A	No											
Yes	ion Prog		N/A	INO	l										
	cal Mitig			•						terre de la composition de la compositi					
	is and Re		andards	•		1.742-017			in her her som						
Tiogres		marks.													
Powa	y														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes ·											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:				-							
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Ranc	ho Cu	camo	nga 4 i	Non-histo	oric, 18 ł	nistoric, l	JRM								
Yes	18	4	Yes	Yes		12	1	1			3	7		6	22
				datory st											
				: 1982 Ec									4		ed
Progres	s and Re	marks:	A pamp	hlet was	develop	ed expla	ining va	rious op	tions and	incentiv	/es, enco	urages l	Mills Act.		
Rancl	ho Mi	rage													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	ion Prog														
the second s	al Mitig		andards											-	
Progres	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	ofUR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	f URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	vey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	ermit	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	х	Slated for Demolition	Placards	ation	lotified
Inventory	Number of Historic URMs	Number o Non-Hist	Mitigatio Establish	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Co	Compliar Jurisdictio	Partial Co Uncer Co	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Sub Planchech	Reduced (Demolished	Slated for	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Ranc	ho Pa														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	tion Prog cal Mitig									n					
	s and R								- / .						
- ingree					5										
Redla	edlands 86 Non-historic URM 11 historic URM 25 11 86 Yes Yes														
Yes															
	tigation Program Type: Notices to owners														
	echnical Mitigation Standards: None rogress and Remarks:														
Progres															
Redo	Redondo Beach 20 URM														
Yes	0	20	Yes	No		5	2	1	4					8	
	-			datory st	~										
			andards	: 1987 SS	C Mode	l Ordina	nce								
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Redw	vood (City 29	Non-his	toric UR	M 4 hist	oric URN	Á								
Yes	4	25	Yes	No		22	5							2	
				ntary str		0									
				: Similar				2							
Progres	s and Re	marks:	City end	ourages	Mills Ac	t agreen	ients for	historica	l buildin	gs to pre	eserve fa	cades.			
Rialto	0 19 URI	ví													
Yes	0	19	Yes	No						7			19	1	19
Mitigat	ion Prog		pe: Man												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	Adoptic	on of UCI	ЗС Арре	endix Ch	apter 1 c	onsidere	d in 1992	2.					
Richr	nond	70 URM	- •												
Yes	0	70	No .	No											
	ion Prog				and the second second										
	al Mitig		andards	* - ⁻											
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
Ridge	ecrest														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards												
Progress	s and Re	marks:				1		(THE)							

	Turisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			
 ()			E			Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program			Esc.						
Inventory Complete	S	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established		UCBC Compliance	ith ⁵ rog	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	مد	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted		ष्ठ
Com	Number of Historic URMs	ric 1	Pro	ey	upli	n's I	mpl Istr	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Under	Scu	ס	Den	laca	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
IJ, C	c U	ır of isto	tion ishe	l to urv	Con	ianc	ÖĞ	t Pe	lock	8	Demolished	for	l gu	tiga ss	N s
ento	nbe tori	mbe n-H	tiga abli	5 S	22	ibai	-tial cer	nofi	ns S nch	luce	lom	ted	Intin	Mi gre	'ner
Inve	Nun His	Na	Mi Est	Replied to 1995 Survey	n	ĔĈ	Pau Un	Rel Iss	Pla	Rœ	D	Sla	Pos	Pro Dro	ŏ
Rio I	Dell										1	L	ta second		
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	tion Prog	gram Ty													
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:		earer 1 30 ⁴ 107 10									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	No URI	M buildin	ngs have	been ide	entified.	All struc	tures in	the city a	are wood	l frame c	ontructio	on.	
Rio V	Vista 1	0 URM									1	r.	1	-	
Yes	io Vista 10 URM s 0 10 No No														
	chnical Mitigation Standards:														
Progre	ogress and Remarks:														
Rive	iverside 244 URM														
Yes	0	244	Yes	Yes									<u> </u>	238	244
		A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWN	and the second s	intary str											
			tandards	: Similar	to 1991	UCBC									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	<u></u>												
Rive	rside (Count	A					1	r	F	T	1	1	r	
Yes	0	3	Yes	Yes		27. 102 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		l					l	1	3
				ces to ov	vners. R	etrotit pl	ans requ	ured in 1	80 days.						
	cal Mitig		tandards	: None											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:												and the second second	1040
Rohr	nert Pa	ırk										·		·	2
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											L
	tion Pro														
Surger States	ical Miti			3:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:		-											
Rolli	ng Hi	lls											.		
Yes	0	0	N/A	No					<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	s:						7. 350 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 -					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													-
Rolli	ng Hi	lls Es	tates												r
Yes	0	0	N/A	No								1			L
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:				, <u> </u>		È						
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	3:				V							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	numbers	ofUR	Ms)			
--------------------	--	--------------------------------	---	---	-----------------------	---	---	---------------------------	--	-------------------	------------	-----------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Rose	mead	6 URM													
Techni	0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re	gation St			-	0	96 Los /	Angeles (County			I	3	1	5
Ross Yes	1 URM 0	1	Yes	No							6				
	tion Prog cal Mitig		in the second		~		er 1								
	ss and Re														
Saint Yes	Heler 28	na 28 H	istoric U Yes	RM Yes								[[]		
	ion Prog			and the second se	ners							L	L		
	cal Mitig													- 14170	
Progres	s and Re	marks:	City hire	ed two co	onsultan	ts to surv	vey URN	ls in 1993	5.						
Salin	as 57 U	RM													
Yes	0	57	Yes	Yes	8	8	5		20		2	1		21	57
	ion Prog			The second s											
	al Mitig														
Progres with	s and Re federal	marks: America	The City n with D	Council Pisabilitie	is consi s Act ree	dering o quiremer	ptions to its.	o relax the	eir URM	l progran	n particı	ılarly sir	ice it trigg	gers com	pliance
San A	nseln	no 21 U	RM												
Yes	0	21	Yes	No		1	1		1					18	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	datory st	rengther	uing									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards:	UCBC .	Append	ix Chapte	er 1, Stat	e Histori	cal Build	ding Cod	е				
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
San E	Senito	Coun	ty 6 UR	RM										-	
No	0	6	No	No											
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards:												
Progres	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisc	liction				1997 - 1998 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			• - • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/. Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Sanl	Bernai	diNo	137 URI	M									1		
Yes	0	137	Yes	Yes	5	5	1		2	7	4	7		120	137
	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		in the second			d		107
Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	andards	UCBC	Append	ix Chapt	er 1, 199	1 edition							
Progre	ss and R	and the second se	1990 or	dinance r						993 Retro	ofit Ordi	nance re	quires re	trofits w	ithin 4
San 1	Bernar			ty 21 U	RM										
Yes	0	21	Yes	No											
				ces to ow	ners										
		gation St	andards	: None										-	
Progre	ss and Re	emarks:												-	
San I	BruNo	5 URM													
Yes	0	5	Yes	Yes		4	-								1
10000				intary str	and the second se										
			andards	: Similar	to UCB	C Appen	dix Cha	pter 1							
Progree	is and Re	emarks:													
San (Carlos	10 URM													
Yes	0	10	Yes	Yes	1	1	1		4			2		3	10
Techni case	cal Mitig by case l	ation St basis.		ntary stri Divisio			City Co	de 1985	Edition,	UCBC A	ppendix	Chapter	·1 is also	allowed	ona
Flogres	is and Re	indiks;													
		nte 2U													
Yes	0	2	No	Yes		1									2
		ram Typ													
		ation St	andards	:											
Progres	is and Re	emarks:													
San I	Diego	Not repo	orted												
No		l	N/A	No											
			11	pet streng	gthening										
		ation St.													
the t	ime of th	marks: le enactn n revisec	nent of th	d invento he URM I	Law and	ed prior l is Not s	to 1992 s trictly bo	urvey wi ound to c	ith No re omply v	ports sir vith the l	ice. San l aw. Sinc	Diego wa e then, S	as in Seis an Diego	mic Zone 's Seismi	e 3 at ic

•

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvev Re	sults (n	umber	s of URI	v(s)			
	<u> </u>					~						1257	1		1
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San I	Diego	Coun	.ty 34 N	onhistori	c URM	4 historic	URM			den senere					
Yes	4	34	Yes	Yes	3	3	4							22	38
	tion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning				1000			I	44 An	
	cal Mitig						SC Mod	el Ordin	ance				anne nebere		-
Progres	ss and Re	marks:	Now 41	JRMs are	e incorpo	orated in	SolaNo	Beach, w	which rep	olied to 1	995 surv	ey.	-		
								and the second	*****						
San I	Dimas	8 URM													
Yes	0	8	No	No											
Mitigal	tion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	marks:													
	_														
	Fernan				c										
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes		9			1		8			1	3
	ion Prog														
													fodificati	ons	
Progres	is and Re	marks:	Wall and	chors and	i parape	t repairs	were ree	quired al	fter the 1	971 Syln	nar Earth	quake.			
San F	rancis	CO 106	TIDME	Coaring M	Jall 110	TIDMN	anhaarin	a walle i	dantifier	t so far					
No		1,967	Yes	Yes	vau, 115	81	118	118	75	1 50 Iai.	51			62	1967
					rengther					er Earthc		zard Re	duction (
92, v	vhich wa	s incorp	orated as	S Chapter	rs 14 and	15 of th	e 1992 Sa	an Franc	isco Buil	ding Coo	le.				
The Leve Esse 2303 with spec upgr Haz	most sign el; 2) Spec ntial & H (h) upgra out a der ified in S rade. Qua	nificant of tial Proce lazardou ide of the nand ca ection 13 alified H uildings	change is edure (U is Facilit ie 1992 Sa pacity ra 509(b) ex istorical : For the	the allo (CBC Ap ies; 5) Re an Franci tion diap ception 1 Building se building	wance of pendix (trofit for sco Buil phragm of that mu s may be ngs, a mo	f a seism Chapter 1 Qualifie ding Coo check and 1st be sat e upgrad odified fo	ic upgra (; 3) Gen (d Histor de. The E d an in-p isfied be ed to pr orm of C	de to "Be eral Porc rical Buil Bolts-plu blane/shi efore a bu ovisions General P	olts Plus' cedure (U dings; 6) s proced ear checl uilding n of the St rocedure	" level for JCBC A JURM B ure is est k of the v nay be re tate Histo is used	or certain opendix uilidngs sentially wall. The trofitted orical Bui (I=1.25; V	types of Chapter requirin a Specia re are eig to a "Bo Iding C	er 1 with f buiding 1); 4) Ret g Section l Procedu ght requi olts-Plus" ode. Esse (1991 UE	s: 1) Bol rofit for s 104(f) ire upgr rements level of ntial and	and ade
retro Febr alloc	ofitted in uary 15,	3 1/2 ye 1993, to),000 in :	ars from complete next year	that date their ha r's budge	e. Other zard mi et to cond	building	s with ri program	sk levels s levels o	2, 3 and of upgrad	4 respected to the Herice II.	tively ha Building	ve 5, 11 Inspectio	are requir and 13 y on Comm A 1990 p	ears from vission h	n
San C	Gabrie	l 63 URI	м												
Yes	0	63	Yes	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Mano	latory str	rengther	ing									
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	1985 Ed	ition of	Division	88 Los A	Angeles (City Cod	e					
Progres.	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisc	liction				a at	Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San	Jacinto			*****					<u></u>	1					
Yes	0	17	No	No	L				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L				
~	tion Prog														
	ical Mitig		tandards	5:	- 12 - 14	1000									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:												STATES.	
San]	loaqui	n Cou	2.40 State 1						-	·					
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	tion Prog														
	ical Mitig		tandards	5:		· · · · ·			······	san National III.					
Progre	ss and Ro	emarks:							-						
San J	ose 14	5 URM	2				-								
Yes	74	72	Yes	Yes	51	146	20	41	16		4	15			146
-	tion Prog														
alsc	ical Mitig referenc	ed. Earli	er ordin	ance spe	cified 25	percent	of the 19	73 UBC I	for earth	quakes c	of varyin	g magnit	ude.		
retr dea	ss and Re ofitted ac dlines ap dings or	cording proxima	to the ea tely 21,	arlier ord /2 years.	inance o A 1989 c	r they ha rdinance	ve or w	ill be den	nolished	. City pr	oposed i	n 1995 to	extend t	he comp	liance
San J	'uan B	autist	a 13 UR	чM										2	
Yes	0	13	No	No					2 <i>B</i>						
Mitiga	tion Prog	ram Typ	pe:										5		
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:								14. 1			
Progree	ss and Re	marks:											11		
San J	uan C	apistr	aNo 1	9 URM											
Yes	0	19	Yes	No											
Mitiga	tion Prog	ram Typ	e: Volu	intary						*****					
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	: None											
Progres	ss and Re	marks:									5. 100 Million Anna ann	100 - 300 - 40 - 40 Sta			
San I	Leandr	:0 59 UI	RM												
Yes	0	59	Yes	Yes		12	12	8	1		3	3		1	
	tion Prog				rengthe					•			المسترين من ا		
	cal Mitig						88 City	of Los A	ngeles C	Code					
fori	ss and Re nitial haz	ard eval	luation c	of private	buildin	gs, reduc	ed perm	it fees, a	nd expe	dited rev	ltant, S16 riews . Ci	65,000 fir ity is con	ancing p sidering	orogram a project	to pay

[Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	number	s of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	l to urvey	Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Compliance/ Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	shed	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	igation	Owners Notified
Invento	Numbe Histori	Numbe Non-H	Mitiga Establi	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC	Compl	Partial (Uncer C	Retrofi Issued	Plans S Planch	Reduce	Demolished	Slated 1	Warnir Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners
San I	Luis O	bispo) 126 UR	М											
Yes	0	126	Yes	Yes	4	122	5	1	3		4		Γ	6	126
buil	ding valu	ue or if c	hange of	occupar	ncy class	ification.		1/4/94.	Strength	nening re	equired w	vhen alte	erations e	xceed 50	% of
				: UCBC				tion proc	man du	ring 1003	Of fieres	Incide	; may incl	1	
strei	ngthenin	g in con	junction	with fina	incial inc	centives.	a muga	tion prog		ring 1993	-96 fiscal	period;	may incl	ude mar	Idatory
San I	uis O	bispo	Cour	ity 65 U	RM										
Yes	0	65	Yes	No		2								63	
	ion Prog								4 						
			andards	UCBC,	Append	lix Chap	ter 1								
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
San N	Marcos	S 1 URM	1				•				9				
Yes	0	1	No	No											
	ion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
San N	/lariN	0 13 UR	M												
Yes	0	13	Yes	Yes	13										
stand	dards.							ng inspec	ction, wr	itten rep	ort, City	reserves	s right to	impose	
		and the second se		SSC 198			nce								
Progres	s and Re	marks:	Program	consists	s of a res	olution									
San N	lateo	28 URM													
Yes	0	28	Yes	Yes		1	5	12	10						
				latory st											
				1987 Ed											
	s and Re oliance.	marks:	Category	y II build	ings are	Not yet	requirec	l to subr	nit. All c	ategory	I building	gs have	achieved	some lev	rel of
San N	lateo	Coun	ty 3 No	n-histori	c URM	4 histori	c URM								
Yes	4	3		No							I				
	ion Prog olition	ram Typ	e: Volu	ntary stre	engthen	ing, engi	neer's st	ructural	report, N	Notices to	o owners,	, change	of use/o	ccupanc	у.
	al Mitig ling Cod		andards:	1985 Ed	lition of	Division	88, 197	3 UBC fo	r Non b	earing w	all URM	building	gs, State I	listorica	L
Progressman	s and Re datory st	marks: rengther	Program ning ordi	does No nance w	ot includ ill be co	le an ord nsidered	inance, r	recomme	nds stre	ngthenir	ig within	three ye	ears other	wise a	

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	of URI	Ms)	- MIR BALK		
Inventory Complete	ıf URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	vey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	ermit	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	प्र	Slated for Demolition	Placards	ation	lotified
Inventory	Number of Historic URMs	Number o Non-Hist	Mitigatio Establish	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Co	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Pro	Partial Co Uncer Co	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted, Plancheck Under	Reduced (Demolished	Slated for	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San F	Pablo									,					
No	0	6	No	No								L	L		
		gram Typ												-	
		gation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
		50 URM								r					
Yes	0	50	Yes	Yes		27	6		3			2		5	43
				al manda									212		
									e, partia	l complia	ance wit	h the UC	BC		
Progres	s and Re	emarks:	A 1990 d	ordinanc	e was vo	luntary	strength	ening.						- line as	
San F	Ramor														
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes]									
		ram Typ													
		ation St	andards		-										
Progres	s and Re	marks:		A contraction											
Sand	City														
Yes	0	0		No		100									
		тат Тур													
and the second second		ation St.	andards:			Second Second				41					
Progres:	s and Re	marks:				والمعاومة العقادة	Land Land	and the statement	• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Santa	Ana	207 URM	[*				-						
Yes	0	207	Yes	Yes	139	139					51	17			17
				latory st											
										City Cod	e				
Progress	s and Re	marks:	City use	d Marks	Bond A	ct funds	for histo	rical buil	dings.						
Santa	Barba	ara 256	URM		-										
Yes	0	256	Yes	No	168	168	15	2	18		6				256
Mitigati	ion Prog	гат Тур	e: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning, imp	olemente	d in a di	strict by	district r	nanner.				
Technic	al Mitig	ation Sta	andards:	UCBC .	Append	ix Chapte	er 1								
Progress	s and Re	marks:	Seminar	s for con	tractors	and build	ding insp	pectors.							
Santa	Barb	ara Co	ounty	20 Non-1			listoric L	JRM					r		
Yes	2	20	Yes	Yes	12	12	1			1	1	1		8	22
					mers, mi	tigation	required	based of	n occupa	ant load a	ind time	frame e	stablishe	d in UCB	iC.
		ation Sta													
Progress	s and Re tuled for	marks: July 199	The cour 92.	nty porp	osed a m	andator	y strengt	hening c	ordinanc	e based o	on the 19	91 UCB	C. Heari	ngs were	

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
-	a Clara			_ <u>~</u> -			2	_ K 4				S	50	Za	0
Yes		24	Yes	No		3	1		6	[2	[T	12	
	tion Prop				engther			e phases.					1	12	
	cal Mitig														
	ss and Re				s to fund	enginee	ring ana	lysis wit	h a 5 yea	r payba	:k.				
		~													
	a Clara														
Yes	0	59	Yes	Yes		28	4		4	L		11	L	17	59
Mitiga fran	tion Prog nes for co	mplianc	pe: Man :e.	datory si	rengthe	ning, exc	ept for c	wners o	f more th	ian two l	buildings	s who m	ay set the	eir own ti	ime
the second se	cal Mitig		and the second se	: 1987 SS	SC Mode	l Ordina	nce								
Progres	ss and Re ofits are o	emarks: currently	Exception of the second	on was n lesign.	nade for	Stanford	Univers	ity whic	h can est	ablish it	s own tin	ne frame	es for con	npliance.	7
Santa	a Clari	ita 4 UR	RM												
Yes	0	4	Yes	Yes	4	4									
Mitiga	tion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: 1985 Ed	dition Cl	hapter 96	Los An	geles Cou	unty Cod	le					
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
	a Cruz hquake.	24 Hist	oric URN	И, 22 No	n-histori	c URM v	vere den	nolished,	5 others	were se	verely da	amaged	in Loma	Prieta	
Yes	24	27	Yes	No									[
Mitigal	ion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Notio		ners of	undamag	ged build	lings, a s	econd or	rdinance	establish	ned stan	dards for	repair of	f
dam	aged UR	M build	ings.												
Techni	cal Mitig se standa	rds do N	andards: Not apply	: 1987 U to unda	CBC Ap	pendix C URM bui	lhapter 1 Idings.	, 1970 U	BC for N	Non-URN	A buildir	igs for th	ne repair	ordinanc	e.
Progres	s and Re d to pass	marks:		Contraction of the second s		A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC		ed passag	e of two	ordinar	ices, a 19	87 hazar	d reduct	ion ordin	ance
Santa	Cruz	Cour	ıty												
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	oe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards:	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Santa	Fe Sp	orings													
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ													
		100													
Technie	cal Mitig	ation St	andards:	:											

.

Survey Results (numbers of URMs) Survey Results (numbers of URMs) and the state of the sta	· · · · ·	Turnin	Lation		1			C.		1/- /		. (177		to the second		
Santa María 27 Nonhistoric URM Yes 0 27 Yes Yes 3 7 1 16 27 Witigation Frogram Type: Partial mandatory strengthening, originally only applicable to a certain district of the city, affecting 8 buildings, of those 6 were retrofitted. Technical Mitigation Shandards: 1989 Ordinance is based on the 1987 Edition of the SSC Model Ordinance Original ordinance Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id /Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). and 57 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes Yes 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Witigation Standards: USCC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Stanta Monica codes. Upgrades for the 1976 ety arcroid potentially hazardous building Notices per UCEC standards. Technical Mitigation Standards: UCEC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchor sequired by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance in 1989. As of 1/1/95 Yoriges and Remarks: URM inventory has been resolved per ordinance. The majority of the remaining UMS with wentory has been resolved per ordinance. The majority of the remaining in the 20° of 54 has Monica is total 1978		Jurisc	liction			1	r	Su	T vey R	esuits (i	T	SOLUK	1VIS)	1		
Yes 0 27 Yes Yes 3 7 1 16 27 Mitigation Program Type: Partial mandatory strengthening, originally only applicable to a certain district of the city, affecting 8 3 7 1 16 27 Mitigation Program Type: Partial mandatory strengthening, originally only applicable to a certain district of the city, affecting 8 3 7 1 16 27 Technical Mitigation Standards: 1989 Ordinance is based on the 1987 Edition of the SSC Model Ordinance Original ordinance specified 75% of Division 88 BCity of Los Angeles Code Design Forces Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done 6, 5 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 100 45 209 Weis 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs 10 15 2.41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Stand Ards: UCBC Appendix Chapter I standards by ordinance in 197. and hancic ordinance in 1980.	Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mitigation Program Type: Partial mandatory strengthening: originally only applicable to a certain district of the city, affecting 3 Dublidding, of thorads: 100 Technical Mitigation Standards: 1980 Ordinance is based on the 1987 Edition of the SSC Model Ordinance Original ordinance specified 73% of Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Design Forces Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 57 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes Yes 144 10 15 2 1 5 100 45 209 Witigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards. UEBC standards. 1978 100 45 209 Witigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation required by ordinance in 1974 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. 10 45 209 Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1974 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings or ordinance; 1989, required 20 reparations or dinance; 1989, required 20 reparations or dinance; 1989, required 20 reparations or dinance; 1989, required 20	Santa	a Mari	a 27 No	onhistori	c URM											
buildings, of those 6 were retrofitted. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1989 Ordinance is based on the 1987 Edition of the SSC Model Ordinance Original ordinance Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major diamage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes Yes 14 154 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Trogram Type: Mandards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Notices per UCBC standards. 1087. Base of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1974 URM interactory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofited by 1996/97. Currently 20% are in the retrofit process. All owner / public opposition to this city URM upgrade program and eave signification: strong 1974 URM towner / public opposition to this city URM upgrade program and eave signification for couple position to this city URM upgrade program and ewith the class signification of the retrofit process. All owner / public opposition to this city URM upgrade program tordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-													and the second se			
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1989 Ordinance is based on the 1987 Edition of the SSC Model Ordinance Original ordinance Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished form 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URM's (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes 144 154 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1917/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchors required by ordinance in 1989. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinance. In 1989. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per on the city's URMs. Mitigation program for certification to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "lucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program forcess: 1975-78, Inv and recorded "Notice potentially hazardous buildings', 1981, required anchors ordinance, 1999, required SE report ordinance, 1999, required SE report ordinance; 1999, required SE	Mitiga	tion Prog	ram Typ	pe: Parti	ial mand	atory str	engthen	ing. orig	inally or	nly appli	cable to a	a certain	district of	of the city	, affectio	ng 8
specified 75% of Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Design Forces Progress and Remarks: Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes Yes 144 154 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building rooress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings to a standards. UCBC standards. UCBC standards. URM synchrone required by 096/97. Currently 20-are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URMs grade program ended with the clear significant "tucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program process: 1975-8, In vand recorded "Notice potentially Bazadous buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Yes 3 112 Yes Yes 43 43 1 1 8 49 Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 URMs Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 URMs Yes 0 0 N/A Yes Ves				the second se	and the second se	Irdinance	a is hase	l on the	1987 F.d.	tion of H	THE SSC M	Indel O-	dinance	Original	ordina	
Santa Monica 256 total city URM's id/Noticed - 6 voided as Non URM buildings, 27 demolished for redevelopment, 14 demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes Yes 144 154 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Notices per UCBC standards. Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance. In 1980. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofited by 1996/97. Currently 204 are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "tucky" refersts of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation Drogram Type: Voluntary strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM Yes 3 11 105 Yes 0 69	spec	cified 759	6 of Divi	sion 88 (City of L	os Angel	es Code	Design l	Forces			iouer Of	amance	Original	ordinan	ce
demolished from 1/94 earthquake damage. 209 remaining city URMs (144 upgrade work done, 65 upgrade work Not done). Note: Repair and upgrade work is in progress on 12 of the city's URM buildings. Over 60 had major damage from the 1/94 EQ and 5-7 are still pending demolition. Yes 0 209 Yes 144 154 10 15 2 41 5 100 45 209 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Notices per UCBC standards. Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchors certification required by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance. 1989. As of 1/195 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofited by 1996/97. Currently 204 are in the retrofit process. All Owner/ public opposition to this city URM upgrade program neded with the clear significant "Jucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program Type: Mandatory strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM Yes 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolit	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory Strengthening for all URMs Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Notices per UCBC standards. Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1980. Engineer's setsmic evaluation report ordinance in 1980. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofitted by 1996/97. Currently 20+ are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "Lucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program process. 1975-78, Inv and recorded "Notice potentially hazardous building", 1981, required anchors ordinance; 1989, required SE report ordinance; 1992, mandatory strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM 105 Yes 3 11 105 Mitigation Program Type: Volutary strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 0WRM Yes 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Value Addition Grant 1 1 8 2 14 69	den Not and	olished e: Repair 5-7 are s	from 1/9 and upg till pend	4 earthq grade wo	uake dat ork is in j olition.	mage. 20 progress	9 remair on 12 of	the city	URMs (s URM I	144 upgr	ade wor 5. Over 6	k done, 6 0 had m	65 upgra ajor dam	de work age from	Not don the 1/9	e). 4 EQ
Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 standards by ordinance 1992. Wall anchors required by 1981 ordinance per 1915/21 Santa Monica codes. Upgrades for termination of the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance in 1989. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The mointy of the remaining URMs will be retrofitued by 1996/97. Currently 20+ are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "Lucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program process: 1975-78, Inv and recorded "Notice optentially hazardous building"; 1981, required anchors ordinance; 1989, required SE report ordinance; 1992, mandatory strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM Yes 3 11 105 Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 1<											2	41	5	100	45	209
ordinance për 1915/21 Santa Monica codës. Upgradës for termination ôf the 1978 city recorded potentially hazardous building Notices për UCBC standards. Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance in 1989. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofited by 1996/97. Currently 20+ are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "lucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program process: 1975-78, Inv and recorded "Notice potentially hazardous building"; 1981, required anchors ordinance; 1989, required SE report ordinance; 1992, mandatory strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM Yes 3 11 105 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 43 43 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 14 69 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1002</td> <td>147 - 11</td> <td></td> <td> 1.1</td> <td>1001</td> <td></td>						<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1002	147 - 11		1.1	1001	
Notices per UCRC standards. 0 Progress and Remarks: URM inventory was done in 1977 and Notice of potentially hazardous buildings recorded all URMs in 1978. Wall anchor certification required by ordinance in 1981. Engineer's seismic evaluation report ordinance in 1989. As of 1/1/95 about 80% of Santa Monica's total 1978 URM inventory has been resolved per ordinances. The majority of the remaining URMs will be retrofit tred by 1996/97. Currently 204 are in the retrofit process. All owner/public opposition to this city URM upgrade program ended with the clear significant "lucky" effects of the Northridge EQ on the city's URMs. Mitigation program process: 1975-78, Inv and recorded "Notice potentially hazardous building"; 1981, required anchors ordinance; 1989, required SE report ordinance; 1992, mandatory strengthening ordinance. Santa Paula 112 Non-historic URM, 3 historic URM 105 Weigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening 105 Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes 43 43 1 1 82 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2	ordi	inance pe	r 1915/2	21 Santa	Monica	codes. U	pgrades	for term	ination o	of the 197	'8 city red	corded p	otential	ly hazard	ous buil	ding
Yes 3 112 Yes Yes 11 105 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes </td <td>abor will pros 1975</td> <td>ut 80% of be retrof gram end 5-78, Inv a</td> <td>Santa M itted by ed with and reco</td> <td>fonica's 1996/97 the clear rded "N</td> <td>total 197 . Curren significa otice pot</td> <td>8 URM i tly 20+ a ant "luck entially</td> <td>nventory re in the cy" effect hazardo</td> <td>retrofit j ts of the</td> <td>n resolv process. Northric</td> <td>ed per o All own dge EQ c</td> <td>rdinance er/public n the city</td> <td>s. The m c opposi y's URM</td> <td>ajority o tion to th ls. Mitiga</td> <td>f the rem his city U htion proj</td> <td>aining L RM upg gram pro</td> <td>JRMs rade ocess:</td>	abor will pros 1975	ut 80% of be retrof gram end 5-78, Inv a	Santa M itted by ed with and reco	fonica's 1996/97 the clear rded "N	total 197 . Curren significa otice pot	8 URM i tly 20+ a ant "luck entially	nventory re in the cy" effect hazardo	retrofit j ts of the	n resolv process. Northric	ed per o All own dge EQ c	rdinance er/public n the city	s. The m c opposi y's URM	ajority o tion to th ls. Mitiga	f the rem his city U htion proj	aining L RM upg gram pro	JRMs rade ocess:
Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:	Santa	a Paula	112 No	on-histor	ic URM,	3 histori	ic URM									
Technical Mitigation Standards: Owners may analyze URM buildings according to 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:				the second s											105	
Progress and Remarks: City is seeking an EQ Hazard Mitigation Grant Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 Yes 43 Yes 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:				and a second sec	and the second se											
Santa Rosa 69 URM Yes 0 69 Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2			the second s					and the second se		cording t	o 1991 U	CBC AP	pendix (Chapter 1		
Yes 0 69 Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 10 10 1 1 8 2 14 69 Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 10 <td>Progres</td> <td>s and Re</td> <td>marks:</td> <td>City is se</td> <td>eeking a</td> <td>n EQ Ha</td> <td>zard Mit</td> <td>igation (</td> <td>Grant</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Progres	s and Re	marks:	City is se	eeking a	n EQ Ha	zard Mit	igation (Grant							
Yes 0 69 Yes 43 43 1 1 8 2 14 69 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 10 10 1 1 8 2 14 69 Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC 10 <td>Santa</td> <td>Rosa</td> <td>20100</td> <td>r</td> <td></td>	Santa	Rosa	20100	r												
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, required preliminary review, property owner review, retrofit or demolition. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Vac	12	42			1 1	1	0	2		14	69
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1955 UBC Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 0 0 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:								uirod pr	aliminar					retrofit		
Progress and Remarks: Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 0 0 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:							initg, req	uneu pr	cininal	y leview	, proper	y owner	i leview,	renome	n demoi	
Saratoga Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 0 0 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:					1955 01											
Yes 0 0 N/A Yes 2 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:		970-08 11-08 - 286 -	11141 N3+	in a second s		2 Contractor					e. The first state of the		e succession and			
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:		<u> </u>	r	Table atte		······	r									
Technical Mitigation Standards:	Yes				Yes	2							L			
								-						in the second second		
Progress and Remarks:			the state of the second state of the second	andards:												
	Progres	s and Re	marks:							ala -						

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	numbers	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
In	ŹŦ	źż	Σű	Re 19	Ď	신크	Pa	Rc	L L	Re	d d	Sla	Po	Zd	ò
Saus	alito 3	5 URM							-						
Yes	• 0	35	No	No		1	1							8	
	tion Prog		and the second second												
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
	s Vall	*					r	1 					F		
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes						1		· · · · ·			
	tion Prog					<u>,</u>									
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:									an the contract	and the second			
Seal	Beach	10 URM	(ĸ								
Yes	0	10	No	Yes	2	2									
	tion Prog	Contraction of the local division of the loc													
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Seasi	de 25 U														
Yes	0	25	Yes	No						L					
	ion Prog					the second se	the second se								
	cal Mitig		andards	: Similar	to the l	987 SSC	Model C	Ordinance	9						
Progres	is and Re	emarks:													
Sebas	stopol	25 URN	f												
Yes	0	25	Yes	No		20	2			1				3	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Cour	ncil Polic	y 11A Lo	ottery for	r buildin	g owner:	s			he	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Technic	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Shaft	er 27 U	RM													
Yes	0	27	Yes	Yes		27						1	26		27
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Notio	ces to ow	ners & p	posts sig	ns								
and the second se	al Mitig		andards	None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:								11					
Sierra	a Mad	re 51 U	RM								*				
Yes	0	51	Yes	No		2	2	2	4		6			35	
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards	Divisio	n 88 198	5 Edition	n								
Progres	s and Re	marks:													

	Jurisd	liction				Strengt	Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	√Is)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Yes Mitiga Techni		0 gram Ty gation S	N/A pe: tandards	Yes											
Yes Mitiga Techni	2 tion Prog	0 gram Ty gation SI		historic Yes datory st : 1987 SS			nce			1	1	1	2		2
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Solec Yes	0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and R lad 4 U 0	gation S emarks: JRM 4	Yes pe: Noti tandards Yes	Yes fication (: None Yes intary str		ing							4	4	4
Progres Solva Yes Mitigal	ang 3 L 0 tion Prog	emarks: JRM 3 gram Ty	Yes	Yes ces to ov None		lix Chapt	ter 1 198	7 Edition					[3	3
Progres SoNc Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	oma 28 28 tion Prog cal Mitig	emarks: Historio 27 gram Ty gation S emarks:	URM, Yes pe: Man tandards \$2 per s	27 Non-h Yes datory s s: Similar	8 trengthe r to the S ot reimb	10 ning Santa Ros ursemen	t to own	er for co	4 CBC App st of dev	1 endix Cl eloping	1 napter 1 upgradir	1 ng plans	. Comm	2 unity	55
SoNo Yes Mitiga Techni	oma C 0 tion Prog cal Mitig	Ounty 174 gram Ty gation S	7 174 UR Yes pe: Noti tandards	for cost o M No ices to ov : UCBC rdinance	vners Appenc	lix Chap	ter 1								

.

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Yes Mitiga Techni	h El M 0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re	0 gram Tyj gation St	N/A pe: tandards	Yes :				[<u> </u>					
Yes Mitigat Techni Progres	cal Mitig ss and Re	47 gram Typ gation St emarks:	Yes pe: Man andards				del Ordi	nance			6	8	47		47
Yes Mitiga Techni		32 ram Typ ation St	32 URM Yes pe: Mano andards				4 n 88 City	of Los A	3 ngeles C	Code	1			7	32
Yes Mitigat Technic	0 ion Prog	15 ram Typ ation St	ISCO 15 Yes De: Mandards:	Yes datory St		0		etrofit w	1 ithin 7 y	ears or a	1 t time of	l sale, wh	ichever c	14 omes firs	15 st.
Technic	0 ion Prog	ation Sta		Yes										, ,	
Yes Mitigat Technic		19 ram Typ ation Sta	M Yes De: Notic andards:		ners]									19
Yes Mitigat Technic	al Mitig	86 ram Typ ation Sta	Yes pe: Notic andards: Staff pro	None											

	Jurisc	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	s of URI	Ms)			1
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Taft	42 URM					r									
Yes	0	42	No	No				L						42	
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards												
Progree	ss and R	emarks:	· · · · · · · · · · · ·												
	chapi														
Yes	0	9	No	No											
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	is and Re	emarks:													
Tem	ole Ci	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•					
Yes	0	6	Yes	No											
				datory st											
			andards	: Chapte	er 96 of t	he Los A	ngeles C	County C	ode 1985	Edition					
Progres	is and Re	emarks:													
Thou	sand	Oaks													
Yes	0	0	N/A	Yes											
	ion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	-												
Tibu	con 1 U	RM													
Yes	0	1	No	No		1									
	ion Prog														
	al Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Torra	nce 50	URM													
Yes	0	50	Yes	Yes		43					7				
				datory st				-							
			the second se	: 1982 Ec	the second se			the local day is the second							
Progres distr	s and Re ict for ov	marks: vners wl	City fun ho choos	ded a su e to join.	bsidy to	pay for I	the engir	neering a	nalysis a	it \$0.50/	Sq. Ft. Fo	ormed S6	79,000 as	sessmen	t
Tular	e Not R	eported													
No			No	No											
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram 1 yp	e:												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards	: d invento											

	Jurisdiction						Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URN	vís)			
						E			>			_			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	ial Compliance/ er Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted / Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Invei	Hist	Nun	Miti Esta	Repl 1995	ncB	Com	Partial (Uncer C	Retro	Plan	Redu	Dem	olate	Vari	Vo N rog	имс
					-					-	н	05		24	0
Tusti	n 8 URI	ví													
Yes	0	8	Yes	Yes		6	1	1							
	tion Prog		a second and a second as a			and the second se									
	cal Mitig														
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	Commu	nity Dev	velopme	nt Block	Grants fo	or up to s	52000 pro	ovided for	or engine	ering co	sts.		
Truct	trmin	o Doly	20 071												
	tynin														
Yes	ion Prog	27	Yes	Yes	ongthon	ing ong	Poor's st	ruchural	rapart 1	attors of	intent d	am alitia	6-		
prog	gress, his	torical b	uildings	are exen	ipt.	ing, engi	neer s st	ructurai	report, i	etters or	intent, de	emolitio	n for uns	atistacto	y
Technie build	cal Mitig dings	ation St	andards	: 1985 Ed	dition of	Divisior	88 as m	odified f	or URM	building	s, 1973 L	JBC for I	Non-UR	√ bearin	g wall
	s and Re	marks:													
					and the second second										
Ukial	h 48 Noi				ic URM										
Yes	0	48	Yes	Yes		48							40	48	48
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ ie on an	pe: Engi y one pei	neer's sti mit.	ructural	report, p	osting, s	tructural	upgrade	e if volur	ntary stru	ictural v	vork exce	eds 50%	of
build						D 11 11	Code								
	cal Mitig		andards	State H	istorical	Building	, couc								
Technic		ation St						le.							
Technic Progres	cal Mitig is and Re	ation St marks:	Earlier l					le.							
Technic Progres Union	cal Mitig is and Re n City	ation Stemarks: 7 URM	Earlier l	oan prog				le.							
Technic Progres Union Yes	n City	ation Stemarks: 7 URM 7	Earlier l					le.							
Technic Progres Union Yes Mitigat	cal Mitig is and Re n City 0 ion Prog	ation Stemarks: 7 URM 7 7 7	Earlier l No	oan prog No				le.							
Technic Progres Union Yes Mitigat Technic	cal Mitig is and Re n City 0 ion Prog	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St	Earlier l No	oan prog No				le.]					
Technic Progress Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks:	Earlier l No 9e: andards:	oan prog No	ram is N	Jo longer	• availab		cupants						
Technic Progres Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Uplar	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: RM, Pre	Earlier l No pe: andards: -1935 wi	No	ram is N	Jo longer	availab	300 + Oc						34	
Technic Progress Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Uplan Yes	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: RM, Pre 58	Earlier l No pe: andards: -1935 wi Yes	No No th 100 + 1 No	ram is N	No longer nts Pre-19 10	availab 976 with 4	300 + Oc 4	6		rs of inter			34	
Technic Progres UniOJ Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Uplan Yes Mitigati Technic	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: RM, Pre 58 ram Typ ation St	Earlier l No ee: andards: -1935 wi Yes Yes ve: Volu	No No th 100 + No ntary str Latest H	Occupar engthen Edition c	Jo longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi	976 with 4	300 + Oa 4 neering r	6 eports, a	nd letter			or Non-L		dings,
Technic Progress Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Mitigati Technic and Progress	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: 8 RM, Pre 58 ram Typ ation St inance # marks:	Earlier l No ee: andards: -1935 wi Yes Yes De: Volu andards: -1470 Jan	No No th 100 + (No Latest H uary 199	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0.	Io longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi	976 with 4 res engin n 88 of t	300 + Oo 4 neering r he Los A	6 reports, a ngeles C	nd letter ity Code	e, the 197	3 UBC fo		IRM buil	
Technic Progress Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Mitigat Technic and Progress Ioan	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re packagin	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: 8M, Pre 58 ram Typ ation St inance # marks: ng.	Earlier l No ee: andards: -1935 wi Yes Yes De: Volu andards: -1470 Jan	No No th 100 + (No Latest H uary 199	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0.	Io longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi	976 with 4 res engin n 88 of t	300 + Oo 4 neering r he Los A	6 reports, a ngeles C	nd letter ity Code	e, the 197	3 UBC fo		IRM buil	
Technic Progress UniOJ Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Uplar Yes Mitigat Technic and Progress loan	cal Mitig is and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re packagin rille 21	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: 8M, Pre 58 ram Typ ation St inance # marks: ng.	Earlier l No ee: andards: -1935 wi Yes Ves Ves indards: i	No No No No No Latest F uary 199 n Comm	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0.	No longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi of Divisio ehabilita	976 with 4 n 88 of t tion Loa	300 + Oo 4 neering r he Los A	6 reports, a ngeles C	nd letter ity Code	e, the 197	3 UBC fo		JRM buil	
Technic Progress Union Yes Mitigat Technic Progress Uplan Yes Mitigati Technic and Progress Ioan Vacav Yes	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re packagin 7ille 21 14	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 9 8 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Earlier l No ee: andards: -1935 wi Yes Pe: Volu andards: 1470 Jan \$2 millio Yes	No No No No No No Latest F uary 199 on Comm Yes	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0. hercial R	Io longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi	976 with 4 res engin n 88 of t	300 + Oo 4 neering r he Los A	6 reports, a ngeles C	nd letter ity Code	e, the 197	3 UBC fo		IRM buil	and
Technic Progress Mitigati Technic Progress Uplan Yes Mitigati Technic and Progress Ioan Vacav Yes Mitigati	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re packagin 7ille 21 14 ion Prog	ation Stemarks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation Stemarks: RM, Pre 58 ram Typ ation Steinance # marks: ng. URM 7 ram Typ	Earlier I No pe: andards: -1935 wi Yes Pe: Volu andards: 1470 Jan \$2 millio Yes Yes	No No No No No No Latest I uary 199 on Comm Yes Yes Ses to Ow	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0. hercial R	No longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi of Divisio ehabilita	976 with 4 n 88 of t tion Loa	300 + Oo 4 neering r he Los A	6 reports, a ngeles C	nd letter ity Code	e, the 197	3 UBC fo		JRM buil	and
Technic Progress Mitigati Technic Progress Uplar Yes Mitigati Technic and Progress loan Vacav Yes Mitigati Technic	cal Mitig s and Re n City 0 ion Prog cal Mitig s and Re nd 58 U 0 ion Prog cal Mitig City Ord s and Re packagin 7ille 21 14	ation St marks: 7 URM 7 ram Typ ation St marks: 8 RM, Pre 58 ram Typ ation St inance # marks: ng. URM 7 ram Typ ation St	Earlier I No pe: andards: -1935 wi Yes ve: Volu andards: i1470 Jan \$2 millic Yes ve: Notic andards:	No No No No No Latest F uary 199 n Comm Yes Yes Ses to Ow None	Occupar Occupar engthen Edition c 0. hercial R	Jo longer nts Pre-19 10 ing requi of Divisio ehabilita 21	976 with 4 res engin n 88 of t tion Loa	300 + Od 4 neering r he Los A n Progra	6 eports, a ngeles C m - loans	nd letter ity Code s at mark	e, the 197 ket rate, a	3 UBC fo		JRM buil	and

÷.

	Jurisdiction			[Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	s of URI	VIs)			
						F			>			e			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Inve	Nur His	N ^E N	Est	Rep 199	nc	<u>J</u> C	Pan Un	Re	Pla Pla	Re	D d	Sla	Pos	Pro	ŏ
Valle	io 56 N	Jon-histo	oric URM	1 f 8 Histo	ric URM		k <u></u>	L			•	<u></u>	<u></u>		
Yes	8	56	Yes	No		16		9	25					20	
				intary sti									a na an	0	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: Simila	r to the L	JCBC AF	opendix	Chapter	1						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	\$40,000	per build	ling max	kimum (DBG loa	an. 19 bi	uldings	removed	l from lis	t.			
Vent	ura 145	5 URM	N							-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r	1	a.	
Yes	0	145	Yes	Yes	3	98		18	5		2	L _{outer}	1	19	145
Rec	ommend	ed by Ci	ty.	201 - 101 Alterna	arapet s	rengthe	ning. Vo	luntary S	Strengthe	ening to	UCBC Se	eismic Z	one 2B C	ompliand	:e
				: UCBC								1			
Progree	ss and R	emarks:	Enviror	imental I	mpact S	tudy dor	ne. 2 ord	linances	adopted	and 1 po	olicy resc	olution.			
Vent	ura Co	ounty	19 URM	1						T	T			-	
Yes	0	19	Yes	Yes	8	8		L		1	1	7		3	19
				datory s											
			tandards	: Februa	ry 1990	SSC Mod	lel Ordin	nance				<u>.</u>			
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
	Ion 126	URM				r	T	·		T		T	1	1	-
No	0	126	No	No					l	I	L	L	<u> </u>	L	L
		gram Ty													
			tandards	3:				1.11.11	an yan ta						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:						Sector and the sector							
Victo	rville	37 URM	1	÷.				T	1		1	.	1	r	
Yes	0	37	Yes	Yes	l		4	<u> </u>	11	<u> </u>			1		40
000	ipancy o	r No late	er than 2	years.				ted to vo	luntarily	upgrad	e their bi	uildings	upon cha	inges or	
				UCBC								<u> </u>	•		
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	11 build	lings hav	ve been c	ontracte	d out to	architect	s/engine	eers for s	seismic re	etroht de	esign.		
Villa	Park														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No						<u> </u>		1			
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:								1.10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10				
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandard	5:	and the second second										
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													

	Jurisd					Su	rvey Re	sults (r	number	s of UR	Ms)				
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Vista	2 URM												1		
Yes	0	2	Yes	No	1	1								1	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	intary str	engthen	ing									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation SI	tandards	: None r	eported										
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Waln	ut														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
	ion Prog														
Techni	cal Mitig	ation St	andards	•											
Progres	is and Re	emarks:													
Waln	ut Cre	ek 12	URM												
Yes	0	12	Yes	Yes	9	9	2		1						12
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Technie	cal Mitig version	ation St	andards	: Modifie	ed Versi	on of the	1987 SS	C Model	Ordina	nce. Also	allows	he UCB	C Appen	dix Chap	ter 1,
	s and Re		1000						in						
Tiogres	5 and IN	.marks,	a pala ang kanala ang ka			in a start and the start of the s									
Wasc	0 27 UR	M													
Yes	0	27	Yes	Yes							1		[T	27
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e: Noti	ces to ow	ners										1
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	None											
Progres	s and Re	marks:													
Watso	onvill	e 60 UR	М			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								-	
No	0	60	No	No										I	
Mitigat	ion Prog	ram Typ	e:												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St.	andards	:											
Progres	s and Re	marks:	Inventor	y started	l, but No	ot comple	eted or r	eported I	to the Co	ommissio	on.				
West	Covir	a 1 UR	М												
Yes	0	1	Yes	Yes					1				1		1
Mitigati	ion Prog	гат Тур	e: Notic	te to own	ner, engi	neer's re	port								
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	Not ind	licated										
Progres.	s and Re	marks:	Plans we	ere prepa	red in 1	992 and	were bei	ng revie	wed. Co	sts were	being lo	oked at.			

.

77

and the second secon
West Hollywood 81 Non-historic URM 20 Historic URM Yes 20 81 Yes No Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1988 Edition of Chapter 96 of the Los Angeles County Code as modified, also accepts the 1984 ABK Methodology Report Progress and Remarks: Amended the rent control program to allow rent increases, \$7100 per building Community Development Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Yes 20 81 Yes No Image: Mandatory Strengthening Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Image: Mandatory Strengthening Image: Mandatory Strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1988 Edition of Chapter 96 of the Los Angeles County Code as modified, also accepts the 1984 ABK Methodology Report Progress and Remarks: Amended the rent control program to allow rent increases, S7100 per building Community Development Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Image: Technical Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Image: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1988 Edition of Chapter 96 of the Los Angeles County Code as modified, also accepts the 1984 ABK Methodology Report Progress and Remarks: Amended the rent control program to allow rent increases, \$7100 per building Community Development Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:
ABK Methodology Report Progress and Remarks: Amended the rent control program to allow rent increases, S7100 per building Community Development Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Progress and Remarks: Amended the rent control program to allow rent increases, 57100 per building Community Development Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Block Grant funds, housing rehabilitation program of \$10,000 per building, reduction or waiver of fees, zoning incentives. Westlake Village Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Yes 0 0 N/A No Mitigation Program Type:
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:
Technical Mitigation Standards:
Prograss and Kamarks
T TUETESS and Itelliatks:
Westminster
Yes 0 0 N/A Yes
Mitigation Program Type:
Technical Mitigation Standards:
Progress and Remarks:
Westmorland 2 URM
Yes 0 2 Yes No
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1988 Edition of Chapter 96 of the Los Angeles County Code
Progress and Remarks:
White takes (
Whittier 12 URM
Yes 0 12 Yes 8 2 4 4 12 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening. 2 4 4 12
Technical Mitigation Standards: Draft Model Ordinance (Division 88)
Progress and Remarks: Notices served 5/92.
Willits 7 Non-historic URM 2 Historic URM
Yes 2 7 Yes Yes 1 1 5 7
Mitigation Program Type: Engineer's report, Notices to owners, posting of buildings.
Technical Mitigation Standards:
Progress and Remarks:

Jurisdiction							Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	of URI	vís)			
Inventory Complete	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non-Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1995 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction's Program	Partial Compliance/ Uncer Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/ Plancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Woo	dside														
Yes	0	0	N/A	No											
	tion Prog	gram Ty		1		L	1			L					
	cal Mitig			3:											18. ar
Progre	ss and R	emarks:			- HEALT - Social			5				V.			
	a Lind	la 2UR 2													
Yes	~		Yes	Yes	2		lucia no a	uined by	aturation						
				datory sl S: Similar					structur	arengin	eer.	and the second	- 146		
	ss and Re		anuarus	s: Similar	10 1902	Eution	OI DIVISI	011 00							
Progres	s and Re	entarks;										At 100 100			
Youn	tville	9 URM													
Yes	0	9	Yes	No										I	
Mitigal	tion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to ow	vners									······	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: None											
Progres	s and Re	emarks:													
Yuca	ipa 45 (JRM													
Yes	0	45	Yes	No	17									28	28
				datory st	rengthe	ning requ	uiring ev	valuation	s by June	e 1994					
	cal Mitig			and other the time											
Progres Ord	ss and Re inance ac	emarks: dopted i	Draft or n 1992, r	dinance equires n	propose	s adoptic ry streng	on of UC thening.	BC Appe They are	endix Ch Now co	apter 1 a nsiderin	ind a vol g revisin	untary s g it to a	trengthe voluntar	ning plar y progra	ι. m.

.

Appendix B—1992 Survey of Uses for URM Buildings (Based on 110 Jursidictions)

Primary Use	No. of Bldgs	Percentage	*Adjusted Percentage	Structure	No. of Bldgs	Percentage	*Adjusted Percentage
Retail	2299	17.1%	44.5%	Bearing	11618	86.5%	90.8%
Office	793	5.9%	15.4%	Walls		0.4.9	0.1~
Residential	238	1.8%	4.6%	Steel Frame	16	0.1%	0.1%
Pre-School	16	<0.1%	<0.1%	Concrete Frame	150	1.1%	1.2%
K-12	40	0.3%	0.1%	Other	1016	7.6%	7.9%
College	10	<0.1%	<0.1%	Undefined	635	4.7%	
Hotel	95	0.7%	1.8%	Total		13436	12800
Retaurant	380	2.8%	7.4%				
Theatre	87	0.6%	1.7%				
Industrial	258	1.9%	5.0%				
Warehouse	214	1.6%	4.1%				
Garage	141	1.0%	2.7%				
Public Utility	37	0.3%	0.7%				
Hopsital	28	0.2%	0.5%				× 8
Police Department	4	<0.1%	<0.1%				
Fire Department	29	.02%	0.6%				
Jail	6	<0.1%	<0.1%				
Church	155	1.2%	3.0%				
Other	334	2.5%	6.5%				
Undefined	8271	61.6%					
Total		13436	5164				

*"Adjusted Percentage" is based on total URM buildings minus the "Undefined" number of buildings.

Appendix C—1988 to 1992 Status of Compliance Statistics

1988 Table of Compliance Inventory Number in Inventory Ordinance No URMs Zone 4 Started Completed Adopted 325 105 60 20 Cities 60 5 29 1 12 0 Counties 117 65 354 21 Total 60

1989 Table of Compliance

Cities & Counties	Zone 4 City and Co	ounty Participation	Zone 4 Unreinforced	d Masonry Buildings
in Zone 4 With:	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	Mitig	ation Programs Estab	olished	
Post-URM Law Mandatory Strengthening Porgram	20	5	1,602	5
Pre-URM Law Programs	9	2	8,744	25
Miscellaneous Programs	2	1	52	0
Subtotals	31	8	10,398	30
		Inventory Progress		
Complete-No URMs	56	15	0	0
Complete—No Program Established	27	7	3,781	11
In Progress	*124	*34	*9,000	*26
Subtotals	*207	*57	12,781	*37
Jurisdictions Implementing Law	*238	*65	*23,179	*66
No Knows Progress	*127	*35	*11,821	*34
Zone 4 Totals	365	100	35,000	100

*Projections based on partial data.

	Jurisdictions	Percentages	Populaton	Percentages
Total Cities	336	92%	18,158,290	80%
Cities without inventories started	7	2	137,210	1
Cities with inventory started	48	13	1,934,675	8
Cities with inventory completed—No mitigation program	70	19	2,923,425	13
Cities with no URMs	68	19	1,683,064	7
Cities with mitigation programs	143	39	11,479,916	50
Total counties	29	8	4,603,800	20
Counties without inventories started	1	0	100,400	0
Counties with inventory started	5	1	766,900	3
Counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program	8	2	917,650	4
Counties with no URMs	4	1	360,450	2
Counties with mitigation programs	11	3	2,458,400	11
Total cities and counties	365	100	22,762,090	100
Cities and counties without inventories started	8	2	237,610	1
Cities and counties with inventory started	53	15	27,011,575	12
Cities and counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program	78	21	3,841,075	17
Cities and counties with no URMs	72	20	2,043,514	9
Cities and counties with mitigation programs	154	42%	13,938,316	61%

1990 Table of Compliance

1991 Table of Compliance

	1991 14	DIE OI CU	Inpliance			
	Jurisdictions	Percentages	Population	Percentages	URMs	Percentages
Cities in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	336	92%	18,158,290	80%	24,267	100%
Cities without inventories started	5	1	109,210	0	55	0
Cities with inventory started	33	9	989,880	4	901	4
Cities with inventory completed—No mitigation program	55	15	223,2130	10	2,220	9
Cities with no URMs	71	19	1,791,864	8	0	0
Cities with mitigation programs	172	47	13,035,206	57	21,091	87
Counties in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	29	8	4,603,800	20	1,044	100
Counties without inventories started	1	0	100,400	0	2	0
Counties with inventory started	4	1	393,600	Ż	61	6
Counties with inventory completed — No mitigation program	6	2	698,450	3	128	12
Counties with no URMs	5	1	489,050	2	0	0
Counties with mitigation programs	13	4	2,922,300	13	853	82
Total cities and counties in Zone 4	365	100	22,762,090	100	25,311	100
Cities and counties without inventories started	6	2	209,610	1	57	0
Cities and counties with inventory started	37	10	1,383,480	6	962	4
Cities and counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program	61	17	2,930,580	13	2,348	9
Cities and counties with no URMs	76	21	2,280,914	10	0	0
Cities and counties with mitigation programs	185	51	159,57,506	70	21,944	87
Types of mitigation programs established						
Mandatory Program	94	51	10,736,462	67	14,067	64
Voluntary Program	30	16	1,080,220	7	1,005	5
Notification Only	42	23	2,776,274	17	4,054	18
Other	19	10	1,364,550	9	2,818	13
Total cities and counties with mitigation programs	185	100%	1,5957,506	100%	21,944	100%

1992 Table of Compliance

-	Jurisdictions	Percentages	Population	Percentages	URMs	Percentages
Cities in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	336	92%	18,158,290	80%	24772	100%
Cities without inventories started	1	0	1,240	0	0	0
Cities with inventory started	20	5	368,660	2	415	2
Cities with inventory completed—No mitigation program	49	13	1,813,395	8	1,489	6
Cities with no URMs	72	20	1,794,774	8	0	0
Cities with mitigation programs	194	53	14,180,221	62	22,868	92
Counties in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	29	8	4,603,800	20	1,012	100
Counties without inventories started	0	0				
Counties with inventory started	2	1	29,500	0	26	3
Counties with inventory completed — No mitigation program	7	2	732,450	3	116	11
Counties with no URMs	5	1	489,050	2	0	0
Counties with mitigation programs	15	4	3,352,800	15	870	86
Total cities and counties in Zone 4	365	100	2,2762,090	100	25,784	100
Cities and counties without inventories started	1	0		-		
Cities and counties with inventory started	22	6	398,160	2	441	2
Cities and counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program	56	15	2,545,845	11	1,605	6
Cities and counties with no URMs	77	21	2,283,824	10	0	0
Cities and counties with mitigation programs	209	57	17,533,021	77	23,738	92
Types of mitigation programs established						
Mandatory Program	110	56	1,1448,387	65	15,439	65
Voluntary Program	34	16	1,439,920	8	1,315	6
Notification Only	42	20	3,166,734	18	3,848	16
Other	22	11	1,455,780	8	3,108	13
Total cities and counties with mitigation programs	209	100%	1,7510,821	100%	23,710	100%

Appendix D-The URM Law (full text)

Chapter 12.2 Building Earthquake Safety

Chapter 12.2 was added by Stats. 1986, c. 250, § 2.

§ 8875. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the following definitions shall govern the construction of this chapter:

- (a) "Potentially hazardous building" means any building constructed prior to the adoption of local building codes requiring earthquake resistant design of buildings and constructed of unreinforced masonry wall construction. "Potentially hazardous building" includes all buildings of this type, including, but not limited to, public and private schools, theaters, places of public assembly, apartment buildings, hotels, motels, fire stations, police stations, and buildings housing emergency services, equipment, or supplies, such as government buildings, disaster relief centers, communications facilities, hospitals, blood banks, pharmaceutical supply warehouses, plants, and retail outlets. "Potentially hazardous building" does not include any building having five living units or less. "Potentially hazardous buildings" does not include, for purposes of subdivision (a) of Section 8877, any building which qualifies as "historical property" as determined by an appropriate governmental agency under Section 37602 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (b) "Local building department" means a department or agency of a city or county charged with the responsibility for the enforcement of local building codes.

§ 8875.1 Establishment of program; identification of potentially hazardous buildings; advisory report

A program is hereby established within all cities, both general law and chartered, and all counties and portions thereof located within seismic zone 4, as defined and illustrated in Chapter 2-23 of Part 2 of Title 24 of the California Administrative Code, to identify all potentially hazardous buildings and to establish a program for mitigation of identified potentially hazardous buildings.

By September 1, 1987, the Seismic Safety Commission, in cooperation with the League of California cities, the County Supervisors Association of California and California building officials, shall prepare an advisory report for local jurisdictions containing criteria and procedures for purposes of Section 8875.2.

(Formerly § 8876, added by Stats. 1986, c. 250, § 2. Renumbered § 8875.1 and amended by Stats. 1987, c 56, § 62.)

§8875.2 Local building departments; participation in mitigation programs; reports Local building departments shall do all of the following:

- (a) Identify all potentially hazardous buildings within their respective jurisdiction on or before January 1, 1990. This identification shall include current building use and daily occupancy load. In regard to identifying and inventorying the buildings, the local building departments may establish a schedule of fees to recover the costs of identifying potentially hazardous buildings and carrying out this chapter.
- (b) Establish a mitigation program for potentially hazardous buildings to include notification to the legal owner that the building is considered to be one of a general type of structure that historically has exhibited little resistance to earthquake motion. The mitigation program may include the adoption by ordinance of a hazardous buildings program, measures to strengthen buildings, measures to change the use to acceptable occupancy levels or to demolish the building, tax incentives available for seismic rehabilitation, low-cost seismic rehabilitation loans available under Division 32 (commencing with Section 5500)

of the Health and Safety Code, application of structural standards necessary to provide for life safety above current code requirements, and other incentives to repair the buildings which are available from federal, state, and local programs. Compliance with an adopted hazardous buildings ordinance or mitigation program shall be the responsibility of building owners.

Nothing in this chapter makes any state building subject to a local building mitigation program or makes the state or any local government responsible for paying the cost of strengthening a privately owned structure, reducing the occupancy, demolishing a structure, preparing engineering or architectural analysis, investigation, or design, or other costs associated with compliance of locally adopted mitigation programs.

(c) By January 1, 1990, all information regarding potentially hazardous buildings and all hazardous building mitigation programs shall be reported to the appropriate legislative body of a city or county and filed with the Seismic Safety Commission.

§ 8875.3 Local jurisdictions; immunity from liability

Local jurisdictions undertaking inventories and providing structural evaluations of potentially hazardous buildings pursuant to this chapter shall have the same immunity from liability for action or inaction taken pursuant of this chapter as is provided by Section 19167 of the Health and Safety Code for action or failure to take any action pursuant to Article 4 (commencing with Section 19160) of Chapter 2 of Part 3 of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code.

§ 8875.4 Annual report

The Seismic Safety Commission shall report annually, commencing on or before June 30, 1987, to the Legislature on the filing of mitigation programs from local jurisdiction. The annual report required by this section shall review and assess the effectiveness of building reconstruction standards adopted by cities and counties pursuant to this article and shall supersede the reporting requirement pursuant to this article and shall supersede the reporting requirement pursuant to Section 19169 of the Health and Safety Code.

§ 8875.5 Coordination of responsibilities The Seismic Safety Commission shall coordinate the earthquake-related responsibilities of government agencies imposed by this chapter to ensure compliance with the purposes of this chapter.

§ 8875.6 Transfer of unreinforced masonry building with wood frame floors or roofs; duty to deliver to purchaser earthquake safety guide On and after january 1, 1993, the transferor, or his or her agent, of any unreinforced masonry building with wood frame floors or roofs, built before January 1, 1975, which is located within any county or city whall, as soon as practicable before the sale, transfer, or exchange, deliver to the purchaser a copy of the *Commercial Property Owner's Guide to Earthquake Safety* described in Section 10147 of the Business and Professions Code. <u>This section shall not apply to any</u> transfer described in Section 8893.3.

§ 8875.7

If the transferee has received notice pursuant to Section 8875.8, and has not brought the building or structure into compliance within five years of that date, the owner shall not receive payment from any state assistance program for earthquake repairs resulting from damage during an earthquake until all other applicants have been paid.

§ 8875.8

(a) Whithin three months of the effective date of the act amending this section, enacted at the 1991192 Regualr Session, any owner who has received actual or constructive notice that a buildng located in seismic zone 4 is constructed of unreinforced masonry shall post in a conspicuous place at the entrance of the building, on a sign not less than 5 X 7 the following statement, printed in not less than 30-point bold type:

This is an unreinforced masonry building. Unreinforced masonry buildings may be unsafe in the event of a major earthquake.

(b) Notice of the obligation to post a sign, as required by subdivision (a), shall be included in the Commercial Property Owner's Guide to Earthquake Safety.

§ 8875.9

Section 8875.8 shall not apply to unreiforced masonry construction if the walls are nonload bearing with steel or concrete frame.

§ 8875.95

No transfer of title shall be invalidated on the basis of failure to comply with this chapter.

Appendix E—Glossary of Descriptive Terms for Buildings

Potentially hazardous building—a building that is one of a type that has historically performed poorly in earthquakes and can pose a significant threat of death or injury from total collapse, partial collapse, falling hazards, blocked entrances or exits, or the release of hazardous materials in the event of a major earthquake.

Hazardous building—a building that a qualified professional has determined to have a high likelihood of posing a significant threat of death or injury from total collapse, partial collapse, falling hazards, blocked entrances or exits, or the release of hazardous materials in the event of a major earthquake. Hazardous buildings are not so unsafe that the government precludes occupancy. However, the owner must consider the prudence of remedial actions or precluding occupancy.

Unsafe or dangerous building—a building that has conditions or defects described in Section 203 of the 1988 edition of the UBC: structurally unsafe or not provided with adequate egress or constituting a fire hazard, or otherwise dangerous to human life. Dangerous buildings are further defined in Section 302 of the Uniform Code for Abatement of Dangerous Buildings. These buildings are unsafe to occupy.

Vulnerable building—a building that could be included in any of the above categories.

Appendix F—References

- Applied Technology Council, 1992. "Evaluation of the Performance of Seismically Retrofitted Buildings," ATC-31.
- Arnold, Chris, 1989. "Establishing Programs the Priorities for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings," February, 1989.
- Barzel, Darragh, 1992. "Seismic Retrofit Incentive Programs: a Handbook for Local Governments," by the Association of Bay Area Governments staff, Fall 1992.
- Cronin, Timothy G., 1991. "Applicability of URM Law to Historical Buildings," Seismic Safety Commission staff legal opinion, Jan. 22, 1991.
- Gregory, Bion M., 1988. Legislative Counsel of California Opinion "Earthquake Safety -#22390" Definition of "Potentially Hazardous Building" and discussion of "Government" Anchors.
- Herman, Russell, Scott, and Sharpe, 1990. "Earthquake Hazard Identification and Voluntary Mitigation: Palo Alto's City Ordinance," December 1990. Seismic Safety Commission. SSC 90-05
- Russell, James E., 1988. "SB 547: Identification and Mitigation of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings - Implementation Issues, Obstacles and Opportunities Facing Local Governments," Feb. 1988.
- Rutherford and Chekene, 1990. "Damage to Unreinforced Masonry Buildings in the Loma Prieta Earthquake of October 17, 1989," SSC 90-07.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1985. "Building Code Requirements for Seismic Safety: A Report to the California Legislature on the Effectiveness of SB 445 Statutes of 1979, Chapter 510," November, 1985.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1985. "Rehabilitating Hazardous Masonry Buildings: A Draft Model Ordinance," SSC 85-06.

- Seismic Safety Commission, 1987. "Financial and Social Impacts of Unreinforced Masonry Building Rehabilitation," including edited transcripts of hearings before the Seismic Safety Commission December 1986 through March 1987, SSC 87-02.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1987. "Guidebook and Appendix to Identify and Mitigation Seismic Hazards in Buildings," SSC 87-03.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1988. "California at Risk: Steps to Earthquake Safety for Local Governments," SSC 88-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1988. "Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law," June 30, 1988. SSC 88-03.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1989. "The URM Bulletin," Spring 1989, Number 1.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1989. "Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law," June 30, 1989. SSC 89-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1990. "A Draft Model Ordinance for the Seismic Retrofit of Hazardous Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," SSC 90-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1990. "Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law," June 30, 1989. SSC 90-03.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1990. "The URM Bulletin," Winter 1990, Number 2.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1991. "Policy on Acceptable Levels of Earthquake Risk in State Buildings," January 1991, SSC 91-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1991. "Recommended Model Ordinance for the Seismic Retrofit of Hazardous Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," SSC 91-03.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1991. "Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law," June 30, 1989. SSC 91-04.

- Seismic Safety Commission, 1991. "California at Risk: Reducing Earthquake Hazards 1992-1996," SSC 91-08.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1991. "The URM Bulletin," Winter 1991, Number 3.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1992. "Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law," June 30, 1989. SSC 92-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1992. "The Right to Know: Disclosure of Seismic Hazards in Buildings," a Report of the Posting Committee, June 11, 1992, SSC 92-03.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1992. "Architectural Practice and Earthquake Hazards," A report of the Committee on the Architect's Role in Earthquake Hazard Mitigation, SSC 91-10.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1993. "The Commercial Property Owner's Guide to Earthquake Safety," SSC 93-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1993. "The Homeowner's Guide to Earthquake Safety," SSC 92-02.

- Seismic Safety Commission, 1994. "California at Risk: Reducing Earthquake Hazards 1992-1996: 1994 Status Report," SSC 94-01.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1995. "Northridge Earthquake: Turning Loss to Gain," SSC 94-09.
- Seismic Safety Commission, 1995. "1995 Recommended Model Ordinance for the Seismic Retrofit of Hazardous Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," SSC 95-12???.
- Thiel, Willsea, and Singh, 1991. "Breaking the Pattern: a Research and Development Plan to Improve Seismic Retrofit Practices for Government Buildings," June 1991, SSC 91-05.
- Tierney, Kathleen J., 1985. "Report on the Coalinga Earthquake of May 2, 1983," September 1985, SSC 85-01.
- Tobin, L. Thomas, 1990. "Senate Bill 547: A Political History," September 1990.

Appendix G—1995 Recommended Model Ordinance for the Seismic Retrofit of Hazardous Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Wall Buildings

Recommended Model Ordinance

for the

Seismic Retrofit

of Hazardous Unreinforced Masonry

Bearing Wall Buildings

A Report by the Seismic Safety Commission



State of California Seismic Safety Commission Report No. SSC 95-04 May 1995

Preface

The Seismic Safety Commission recommends this Model Ordinance to local governments statewide as an effective earthquake hazard mitigation program for buildings with bearing wall unreinforced masonry construction. Compliance with this ordinance will reduce the risk of damage, death or injury that may result from the effects of earthquakes on existing unreinforced masonry buildings, but compliance will not necessarily prevent loss of life or injury, nor prevent earthquake damage. This ordinance is intended for use by engineers and architects who are developing designs for the seismic retrofit of existing unreinforced masonry buildings, including historical buildings.

Part 1 of the ordinance consists of Appendix Chapter 1 of the 1994 Edition of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation that is published and available from the International Conference of Building Officials and approved by the Structural Engineers Association of California. Because of copyright laws, it is not reproduced here.

Part 2 of the ordinance was prepared in cooperation with the California Building Officials and is recommended administrative language for a mandatory hazard mitigation program that requires building owners to retrofit their buildings from between 3 and 7 years of receiving an order to comply with the ordinance. Local governments can adopt this Model Ordinance and make revisions to reflect their administrative provisions and retrofit priorities. The Commission recommends that historical buildings with unreinforced masonry bearing wall construction comply with this ordinance, in addition to the State Historical Building Code.

This ordinance supersedes three earlier Draft Model Ordinances - the first which was published in 1985 and based on the City of Los Angeles Division 88, and the second and third which were published in February of 1990 & 1991 after a consensus was reached on major revisions to Division 88 by the Structural Engineers Association of California and the California Building Officials. The Commission now recommends that design professionals and building officials purchase and apply Appendix Chapter 1 of the 1994 Edition of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation. Subsequent editions of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation will supersede Part 1 of this Model Ordinance.

The Commission recommends that an acceptable level of earthquake risk can also be achieved for URM buildings by complying with Part 1 upon sale, transfer of ownership, or upon major additions, alterations, repairs, or increases in occupancy.

PART 1

1994 EDITION AND SUBSEQUENT EDITIONS

OF THE

UNIFORM CODE FOR BUILDING CONSERVATION

APPENDIX CHAPTER 1

PUBLISHED BY THE

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BUILDING OFFICIALS SEISMIC STRENGTHENING PROVISIONS FOR

UNREINFORCED MASONRY BEARING WALL BUILDINGS

Copies of this document can be purchased from the International Conference of Building Officials (ICBO) by calling 1-800-423-6587 or by writing ICBO at 5360 South Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California 90601.

PART 2

THE FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS AND TABLES A1-G AND A1-H ARE NOT PART OF APPENDIX CHAPTER 1 OF THE UNIFORM CODE FOR BUILDING CONSERVATION. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF SUBSECTION A115.4, THESE PROVISIONS HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED BY THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING OFFICIALS. SUBSECTION A115.4 HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED BY THE SEISMIC SAFETY COMMISSION.

SECTION A115 — ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

A115.1 Definitions. For the purposes of this chapter, the meaning of certain terms shall be as set forth below, and the applicable definitions in the Building Code shall also apply.

High-Risk Building is any building, other than an essential or hazardous building, having an occupant load of 100 occupants or more as determined by Section 1002 of the Building Code.

Exception: A high-risk building shall not include the following:

- Any building having exterior walls braced with frame crosswalls spaced less than 40 feet apart in each story. Crosswalls shall be full-story height with a minimum length of 1 1/2 times the story height.
- 2. Any building used for its intended purpose, as determined by the building official, for less than 20 hours per week.

Low-Risk Building is any building, not classified as a high-risk building or an essential or hazardous facility, having an occupant load of 20 occupants or more as determined by Section 1002 of the Building Code.

Medium-Risk Building is any building, not classified as a high-risk building or an essential or hazardous facility, having an occupant load of 20 occupants or more as determined by Section 1002 of the Building Code.

A115.2 Rating Classifications. The rating classifications identified in Table No. A-1-J are hereby established, and each building within the scope of this chapter shall be placed in one such rating classification by the building official. The total occupant load of the entire building at determined by Section 1002 of the Building Code shall be used to determine the rating classification.

Exception: For purposes of this chapter, portions of buildings constructed to act independently when resisting seismic forces, and having required exists with independent travel paths, may be placed in separate rating classifications.

A115.3 Compliance Requirements.

A115.3.1 The owner of each building within the scope of this chapter shall, upon service of an order and within the timelimits set forth in this chapter, cause a structural analysis to be made of the building by an engineer or architect licensed by the state to practice as such and, if the building does not comply with earthquake standards specified in this chapter, the owner shall cause it to be structurally altered to conform to such standards or shall cause the building to be demolished.

A115.3.2 The owner of a building within the scope of this chapter shall comply with the requirements set forth above by submitting to the building official for review within the stated time limits:

- 1. Within 270 days after service of the order, a structural analysis, which is subject to approval by the building official and which shall demonstrate that the building meets the minimum requirements of this chapter; or
- 2. Within 270 days after service of the order, the structural analysis and plans for structural alteration of the building to comply with this chapter; or

- 3. Within 120 days after service of the order, plans for the installation of wall anchors in accordance with the requirements specified in Section A113 ; or
- 4. Within 270 days after service of the order, plans for the demolition of the building.

A115.3.3 After plans are submitted and approved by the building official, the owner shall obtain a building permit and then commence and complete the required construction or demolition within the time limits set forth in Table No. A-1-H. These time limits shall begin to run from the date the order is served in accordance with Section A115.3.2, except that the time limit to commence structural alteration or demolition shall begin to run from the date the building permit is issued.

A115.3.4 Owners elected to comply with Item 3 of subsection A115.3.2 are also required to comply with Item 2 or 4 of this subsection provided, however, that the 270-day period provided for in Item 3 or 4 and the time limits for obtaining a building permit and to complete structural alterations or building demolition set forth in Table No. A-1-H shall be extended in accordance with Table No. A-1-I. Each such extended time limit shall begin to run from the date the order is served in accordance with Section A115.3.2, except that the time limit to commence structural alterations or demolition shall begin to run from the date the building permit is issued.

A115.4 Historical Buildings. Alterations or repairs to qualified historical buildings, as defined by Section 18955 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California and as regulated by Sections 18950 to 18961 of that Code, as designated on official national, state, or local historical registers or inventories shall comply with the State Historical Building Code (Title 24, Building Standards, Part 8), in addition to this chapter.

A115.5 Administration.

A115.5.1 Order -- service.

A115.5.1.1 The building official shall, in accordance with the priorities set forth in Table No. A-1-I, issue an order as provided in this section to the owner of each building within the scope of this chapter.

A115.5.1.2 Prior to the service of an order as set forth in Table No. A-1-I a bulletin may be issued to the owner as shown upon the last equalized assessment roll or to the person in apparent charge or control of a building considered by the building official to be within the scope of this chapter. The bulletin may contain information the building official deems appropriate. The bulletin may be issued by mail or in person.

A115.5.2 Order - priority of service. Priorities for the service of the order for buildings within the scope of this chapter shall be in accordance with the rating classification as shown on Table No. A-1-I. Within each separate rating classification, the priority of the order shall normally be based upon the occupant load of the building. The owners of the buildings housing the largest occupant loads shall be served first. The minimum time period prior to the service of the order as shown on Table No. A-1-I shall be measured from the effective date of this chapter. The building official may, upon receipt of a written request from the owner, order such owner to bring the building into compliance with this chapter prior to the normal service date for such building set forth in this chapter.

A115.5.3 Order - contents. The order shall be in writing and shall be served either personally or by certified or registered mail upon the owner as shown on the last equalized assessment roll, and upon the person, if any, in apparent charge or control of the building. The order shall specify that the building has been determined by the building official to be within the scope of this chapter and, therefore, is required to meet the minimum seismic standards of this chapter. The order shall specify the rating classification of the building and shall be accompanied by a copy of Section A115.3, which sets forth the owner's alternatives and time limits for compliance.

A115.5.4 Appeal from order. The owner of the building may appeal the building official's initial determination that the building is within the scope of this chapter to the Board of Appeals established by Section 105 of the Building Code. Such appeal shall be filed with the Board within 60 days from the service date of the order described in Section A115.5.3. Any such appeal shall be decided by the Board no later than 90 days after writing and the grounds thereof shall be stated clearly and concisely. Appeals or requests for modifications from any other determinations, orders or actions by the building official pursuant to the chapter shall be made in accordance with the procedures established in Sections 104.2.7 and 104.2.8 of the Building Code.

A115.5.5 Recordation. At the time that the building official serves the aforementioned order, the building official shall also file with the office of the county recorder a certificate stating that the subject building is within the scope of this chapter and is a potentially earthquake hazardous building. The certificate shall also state that the owner thereof has been ordered to structurally analyze the building and to structurally alter or demolish it where compliance with this chapter has not been demonstrated.

If the building is either demolished, found not to be within the scope of this chapter or is structurally capable of resisting minimum seismic forces required by this chapter as a result of structural alterations or an analysis, the building official shall file with the office of the county recorder a form terminating the status of the subject building as being classified within the scope of this chapter.

A115.5.6 Enforcement. If the owner in charge or control of the subject building fails to comply with any order issued by the building official pursuant to this chapter within any of the time limits set forth in Section A115, the building official shall verify that the record owner of this building has been properly served. If the order has been served on the record owner, then the building official shall order that the entire building be vacated and that the building remain vacated until such order has been complied with. If compliance with such order has not been accomplished within 90 days after the date the building has been ordered vacated or such additional time as may have been granted by the Board of Appeals, the building official may order its demolition in accordance with the provisions of Section 102 of the Building Code.

REQUIRED ACTION BY OWNER	OBTAIN BUILDING PERMIT WITHIN	COMMENCE CONSTRUCTION WITHIN	COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION WITHIN
Structural Alterations or Building Demolition	1 year ²	180 days ¹	3 years ²
Wall Anchors	180 days ²	270 days ²	1 year ²

TABLE NO. A-1-H—TIME LIMITS FOR COMPLIANCE³

¹Measured from date of building permit issuance.

²Measured from date of service of order.

³This table is not part of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation, but is recommended by the California Building Officials.

	TABLE NO. A-1-I—EXTENSIONS OF TIME AND SERVICE PRIORITIES ¹										
RATING CLASSIFICATION	OCCUPANT LOAD	EXTENSION OF TIME IF WALL ANCHORS ARE INSTALLED	PERIODS FOR SERVICE OF ORDER								
I (highest priority)	N/A	N/A	N/A								
I	100 or more	1 year	180 days								
III-A	100 or more	1 year	1 year								
Ш-В	More than 50 but less than 100	1 year	2 years								
Ш-С	More than 19 but less than 51	1 year	3 years								
IV (lowest priority)	Less than 20	1 year	5 years								

¹This table is not part of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation, but is recommended by the California Building Officials.

TABLE NO. A	A-1-J-RATING	CLASSIFICATION ²
-------------	--------------	-----------------------------

TYPE OF BUILDING	CLASSIFICATION
Essential Building ¹	I
Hazardous Building ¹	I
High-Risk Building	I
Medium-Risk Building	Ш
Low-Risk Building	IV

¹See Sections A102 and A115 for the application of this chapter to essential and hazardous buildings.

²This table is not part of the Uniform Code for Building Conservation, but is recommended by the California Building Officials.

